Single-cell analysis of the developing human testis reveals somatic niche cell specification and fetal germline stem cell establishment

Graphical Abstract



Highlights

- A transcriptional single-cell atlas of the fetal and postnatal human testes
- Somatic niche cell types derive from a common progenitor ${\sim}7$ weeks after fertilization
- PGCs transition directly into fetal state 0-like cells (state f0) starting at week 14
- Fetal somatic niche cell specification precedes the PGC-tostate f0 transition

Jingtao Guo, Enrique Sosa, Tsotne Chitiashvili, ...,

Authors

Tsotne Chitiashvili, ..., Jan-Bernd Stukenborg, Amander T. Clark, Bradley R. Cairns

Correspondence

jingtao.guo@hci.utah.edu (J.G.), clarka@ucla.edu (A.T.C.), brad.cairns@hci.utah.edu (B.R.C.)

In Brief

Guo et al. provide a transcriptional cell atlas of the fetal and postnatal human testes. Remarkably, starting from \sim 14 weeks postfertilization, fetal primordial germ cells transition to a cell state highly similar to postnatal spermatogonial stem cells. Furthermore, somatic niche specification precedes this transition, which is consistent with guiding fetal germline development.







Resource

Single-cell analysis of the developing human testis reveals somatic niche cell specification and fetal germline stem cell establishment

Jingtao Guo,^{1,2,*} Enrique Sosa,^{3,7} Tsotne Chitiashvili,^{3,4,7} Xichen Nie,¹ Ernesto Javier Rojas,³ Elizabeth Oliver,⁵ DonorConnect,⁶ Kathrin Plath,⁴ James M. Hotaling,² Jan-Bernd Stukenborg,⁵ Amander T. Clark,^{3,*} and Bradley R. Cairns^{1,8,*}

¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Oncological Sciences and Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

²Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

³Department of Molecular, Cell, and Developmental Biology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA ⁴Department of Biological Chemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

⁶DonorConnect, Murray, UT 84107, USA

⁷These authors contributed equally

⁸Lead contact

*Correspondence: jingtao.guo@hci.utah.edu (J.G.), clarka@ucla.edu (A.T.C.), brad.cairns@hci.utah.edu (B.R.C.) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2020.12.004

SUMMARY

Human testis development in prenatal life involves complex changes in germline and somatic cell identity. To better understand, we profiled and analyzed \sim 32,500 single-cell transcriptomes of testicular cells from embryonic, fetal, and infant stages. Our data show that at 6–7 weeks postfertilization, as the testicular cords are established, the Sertoli and interstitial cells originate from a common heterogeneous progenitor pool, which then resolves into fetal Sertoli cells (expressing tube-forming genes) or interstitial cells (including Ley-dig-lineage cells expressing steroidogenesis genes). Almost 10 weeks later, beginning at 14–16 weeks postfertilization, the male primordial germ cells exit mitosis, downregulate pluripotent transcription factors, and transition into cells that strongly resemble the state 0 spermatogonia originally defined in the infant and adult testes. Therefore, we called these fetal spermatogonia "state f0." Overall, we reveal multiple insights into the coordinated and temporal development of the embryonic, fetal, and postnatal male germline together with the somatic niche.

INTRODUCTION

As the germline stem cells of the adult testis, spermatogonial stem cells (SSCs) must properly balance self-renewal and differentiation to maintain lifelong spermatogenesis and fertility (Kanatsu-Shinohara and Shinohara, 2013). Adult SSCs are the culmination of a complex developmental process that begins in the embryo and continues through distinct fetal, juvenile, pubertal, and adult stages. The human germline is specified through the formation of primordial germ cells (PGCs), which occurs in the peri-implantation human embryo around the time of gastrulation (Chen et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2016; Witchi, 1948). Here, studies in the cynomolgus macaque and the porcine embryo (Kobayashi et al., 2017; Sasaki et al., 2016), as well as through the differentiation of human embryonic stem cells, suggest that primate and human PGCs originate during amnion specification and also from the posterior end of the nascent primitive streak (Chen et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2019). Following

specification, PGCs migrate through the hindgut, dorsal mesentery, and ultimately into the genital ridges at ~4–5 weeks postfertilization (Witchi, 1948). At ~6 weeks postfertilization, the genital ridges differentiate into either the male or female gonads, with sex-determining region on the Y chromosome (SRY) being essential for testicular development in males (Hanley et al., 2000; Mamsen et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2018). One of the earliest morphological changes in the male gonad at 6 weeks is the formation of nascent "cord-like" structures comprising PGCs and Sertoli-lineage cells surrounded by fetal Leydig and interstitial cells. In humans, this basic niche structure persists through the fetal and postnatal stages, as the formation of an organized seminiferous tubule does not occur until the pubertal stages in humans (Guo et al., 2020; Paniagua and Nistal, 1984).

Within the developing fetal testicular niche, recent genomics profiling and immunofluorescence (IF) imaging approaches have revealed that male germline cells undergo major developmental changes (Gkountela et al., 2013, 2015; Guo et al., 2015;

⁵NORDFERTIL Research Laboratory Stockholm, Childhood Cancer Research Unit, Bioclinicum J9:30, Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet and Karolinska University Hospital, Solna 17164, Sweden

Resource



Li et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2015). Notably, the germline transitions from pluripotent-like PGCs migrating to and into the developing gonad to pluripotent-like and mitotically active PGCs in the gonad (called fetal germ cells [FGCs] or gonocytes), followed by the transition to "mitotically arrested" germ cells that repress the pluripotency-like program at/after weeks 14-18 (Li et al., 2017). Here, a key unanswered question during this stage of germline development involving the relationship between the mitotically arrested germ cells that arise during weeks 14-18 and the postnatal SSCs is as follows: are prenatal germ cells nearly identical to postnatal SSCs or are there major additional developmental stages that occur during prenatal stages? Notably, our prior work on the adult testis identified five distinct spermatogonial states (called states 0-4) accompanying human spermatogonial differentiation, with state 0 identified as the most naive and undifferentiated state (Guo et al., 2017, 2018, 2020), a result supported by single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) profiling from other groups (Hermann et al., 2018; Li et al., 2017; Shami et al., 2020; Sohni et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2018). Consistent with this notion, state 0 is the predominant SSC state present in the infant testis, and state 0 SSCs express hundreds of state-specific markers, including PIWIL4, TSPAN33, MSL3, and EGR4 (Guo et al., 2018). The key markers identified in state 0 SSCs are also expressed in the undifferentiated spermatogonial states identified by others in recent studies, such as the SSC1-B (Sohni et al., 2019) or SPG-1 adult spermatogonia population (Shami et al., 2020), as well as in spermatogonia profiled from human neonates (Sohni et al., 2019) and in undifferentiated spermatogonia from macaques (Shami et al., 2020). Here, we explore whether the previously identified mitotically arrested prenatal germ cells transcriptionally resemble state 0 postnatal spermatogonia, or instead represent a unique precursor that undergoes additional prenatal changes before birth.

The testis niche plays an important role in guiding the survival and differentiation of the male germline. In the adult testis, somatic niche cells, including Sertoli, Leydig, and myoid cells, provide physical and hormonal support for the successful execution of spermatogenesis from SSCs (Guo et al., 2018). The development of the functional adult testis and its organized tubule-like structure is completed at puberty, during which the final specification and maturation of all somatic niche cells takes place. Our prior work, which used scRNA-seq to study human testis development during puberty, revealed a common progenitor for Leydig and myoid cells that exists before puberty in humans, which is analogous to the somatic progenitor observed in fetal mice (Guo et al., 2020). However, during prenatal life, several key issues remain elusive, such as how the human testicular niche cell lineages are initially specified, whether they have a common progenitor, how the nascent gonad initially forms cords, and how niche cells differentiate further during subsequent fetal developmental stages to arrive at their postnatal states.

To address these questions, we profiled a total of \sim 32,500 unsorted single testicular cells from embryonic, fetal, and postnatal samples through the 10x Genomics Chromium platform. This unbiased profiling allowed us to examine the specification process in the somatic cell niche and the development of both the germline and niche cells; this enabled a detailed comparison of the cell types and developmental processes in infant, pubertal, and adult testis.

RESULTS

Single-cell transcriptomes of human embryonic, fetal, and postnatal testes

We obtained human testis tissues from 3 embryonic stages (6, 7, and 8 weeks postfertilization), 3 fetal stages (12, 15, and 16 weeks postfertilization), and 1 young infant stage (5 months postbirth) for comparisons to prior datasets from older infants, juveniles, and adults. To systematically investigate both germ cell and somatic cell development across embryonic and fetal stages, we prepared single-cell suspensions from these testicular tissues and performed scRNA-seq using the 10x Genomics platform. For embryonic and fetal samples, we profiled ~5,000 single cells per sample; for the young infant sample, we performed 2 replicates, and profiled ~2,500 single cells. From a total of ~32,500 cells, 30,045 passed standard quality control dataset filters and were retained for downstream analysis (see Method details). We obtained ~80,000–120,000 reads/cell, which enabled the analysis of ~1,800–2,500 genes/cell.

To analyze the dataset, we first performed UMAP (uniform manifold approximation and projection dimension reduction analysis) on the combined datasets using the Seurat package (Figures 1A and S1A: Butler et al., 2018). Interestingly, we observed a trend in which cells from 6 and 7 weeks cluster closely, and likewise, cells from 8, 12, 15, and 16 weeks cluster closely (Figures 1A and S1A), while also displaying temporal changes in particular cell types (Figures S1B and S1C). Further clustering analyses yielded 17 major clusters or cell types (Figure 1B) that were subsequently annotated using known gene markers (Figures 1C and S2). Clusters 1-4 are testicular niche cells from 6- and 7-week embryos, which uniquely express NR2F2 and TCF21. Clusters 5-9 correspond to somatic cells from the interstitial and Leydig lineage from \geq 8-week samples, which express DLK1. Clusters 10-11 are Sertoli lineage cells from \geq 8-week samples, which express AMH and SOX9. Cluster 12 includes germ cells from all of the samples, which express known germ cell markers (e.g., TFAP2C, DAZL) with a subset expressing markers of pluripotency (e.g., POU5F1, NANOG). Clusters 13-17 correspond to endothelial cells (cluster 13, PECAM1⁺), macrophages (cluster 14, CD4⁺), smooth muscle cells (cluster 15, RGS5⁺), red blood cells (cluster 16, HBA1⁺), and fetal kidney cells (cluster 17, CYSTM1+), respectively. We also provide examples of the many additional markers that were used to define these cell types (Figure S2).

Emergence of state 0 SSCs as PGCs exit mitosis and repress pluripotency

Development of the male germline was examined by parsing out and analyzing the germ cells separately from the somatic cells of the prenatal and postnatal (5 months) testes (cluster 12 from Figure 1B). To place the embryonic, fetal, and postnatal germ cells in a more complete developmental timeline and enable comparisons, we combined these data with data from infant germ cells (1 year old) and adult spermatogonial states (states 0–4) from our prior published work (Guo et al., 2018), which was also profiled on the 10x Genomics platform. A combination of dimension reduction (via t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding [t-SNE]) and pseudotime analysis revealed seven defined clusters and a single pseudo-developmental trajectory that ordered



Figure 1. Single-cell transcriptome profiling and analysis of the human fetal and postnatal testis (A) Dimension reduction presentation (via UMAP) of combined single-cell transcriptome data from embryonic, fetal, and infant human testes (n = 30,045). Each dot represents a single cell and is colored according to its age/donor of origin.

and linked germ cells from the different stages (Figure 2A). Following the order of pseudotime, we observed that the first cluster of germ cells was largely composed of cells from 6 to 12 weeks, as well as a portion of germ cells from week 15 (Figures 2A and S3A). This cluster was called the "embryonic-fetal group." Their transcriptional identity is consistent with that of PGCs, including the expression of TFAP2C, KIT, NANOG, POUF51, SOX17, and others (Figure 2B), which is consistent with prior scRNA-seq results (Li et al., 2017). The next developmental stage along pseudotime consists of cells from 15- and 16-week fetal samples that group together with cells from the 5-month- and 1-year-old postnatal samples, and was thus called the "fetal-infant group" (Figures 2A and S3B). Interestingly, cells from the fetal-infant group lacked expression of the PGC markers mentioned above, and instead initiated the expression of multiple key state 0-specific markers (PIWIL4, EGR4, MSL3, TSPAN33, others), which were previously defined in the adult, infant, and neonatal testis. The subsequent clusters correspond to states 0-4 spermatogonia from adults, which display the sequential expression of markers associated with the subsequent developmental states: quiescent/undifferentiated (state 1; GFRA1⁺), proliferative (states 2-3; MKI67⁺, TOP2A⁺), and differentiating (state 4; SYCP3⁺) (Figures 2A, 2B, and S3C), which is consistent with our previous work (Guo et al., 2017, 2018). This pseudotime order was further supported by orthogonal Monocle-based pseudotime analysis (Figures S3D and S3E). A more systematic analysis via heatmap and clustering yielded 2,448 dynamic genes and provided a format to explore and display the identity, Gene Ontology (GO) terms, and magnitude of genes that show dynamic expression along this germ cell differentiation timeline (Figure 2C; Table S1). The embryo-fetal group (PGCs) displayed a high expression of genes (cluster 1) associated with signaling and gonad and stem cell development, which were then abruptly repressed between weeks 15 and 16, coinciding with the transition to the subsequent fetal-infant group. Here, we also observe the upregulation of many transcription- and homeobox-related genes (cluster 2) in the fetal-infant group, and the clear upregulation of markers of state 0 spermatogonia. Interestingly, the transition from the fetal-infant group to state 0 spermatogonia is characterized by a deepening and reinforcement of the state 0 gene expression signature, rather than a large number of new genes displaying upregulation. For example, differential gene expression analysis comparing fetal germ cells to adult state 0 spermatogonia identified only 2 genes (ID3 and GAGE12H; 2-fold, p < 0.05) that display fetal-specific expression (Figure S4G). Consistent with prenatal-postnatal similarity, we observe germ cells from both younger and older infants located in the fetal-infant and adult state 0 clusters. Our results revealed that the spermatogonia present in young and older infants (called state 0) are highly similar to the fetal germline cells that emerge directly after PGCs exit the pluripotent-like state. Given this similarity, we call these fetal (f) cells state f0.



To validate our scRNA-seq profiles at the protein level, we performed IF staining for key markers. The proportion of NANOG⁺ (PGC marker) and MKI67⁺ (proliferation marker) decreased from 5 to 19 weeks (Figures 2D and S3G), supporting the notion that the exit from the pluripotent-like state and entry into G0 are temporally linked. We note that for NANOG, the loss of RNA signal based on transcription profiling appears more abrupt than the loss of protein, suggesting heterogeneity in the rates of protein loss. Regarding the acquisition of state 0 markers, no PIWIL4 positivity was detected in the 8- and 10-week samples; however, from week 14 onward, PIWIL4⁺ cells were clearly detected, specifically in DDX4⁺ germ cells (Figures 2E, 2F, and S3H). Thus, for the key pluripotency, proliferation, and state 0 markers tested, our IF staining results validate our scRNAseg results.

Network expression dynamics during embryonic, fetal, and postnatal germ cell development

To define candidate key genes and networks linked to germline developmental stages and transitions, we conducted network analysis. Using weighted correlation network analysis (WGCNA) (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008), we identified gene-gene interactions that display dynamic expression patterns during PGC differentiation to state f0 spermatogonia. Here, for the PGC upregulated network ("PGC network;" Figures S4A and S4D), we identified 2,126 genes and 122,360 interactions, and present the top 11 hub genes (and their interactions). As expected, several genes with known expression in PGCs were present, including POU5F1, NANOG, NANOS3, SOX15, and TFAP2C (Gkountela et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2015; Tang et al., 2015), confirming the robustness of our analysis. In addition, this analysis revealed PHLDA3, PDPN, ITM2C, RNPEP, THY1, and ETV4 as prominent markers in mitotic PGCs, providing candidates for future analysis. For example, PDPN, ITM2C, and THY1 encode cell surface proteins, and PDPN has successfully been used to isolate PGCs differentiated from human pluripotent stem cells (Sasaki et al., 2016). Regarding networks that accompany the differentiation of PGCs into state f0 spermatogonia, a large fraction of the identified genes show relatively broad expression within all subsequent spermatogonia stages, and thus we call this network the "spermatogonia network" (Figures S4B and S4E). We identified 771 genes and 31,557 interactions, and present the top 10 hub genes. Here, roles for EGR4, DDX4, TCF3, and MORC1 in mammalian germ cells are well known. Interestingly, our analysis also indicates several additional factors (e.g., RHOXF1, STK31, CSRP2, ASZ1, SIX1, THRA) worthy of further exploration. For example, RHOXF1 mutations in humans confer male infertility (Borgmann et al., 2016), and MORC1 and ASZ1 both play important roles in protecting the germline genome by repressing transposable element activity (Ma et al., 2009; Pastor et al., 2014), raising the possibility that they may coordinate with the PIWIL4 factor described below. We also examined the networks that were exclusively expressed in state

⁽B) Dimension-reduction presentation of combined single-cell transcriptome data from (A), labeled with corresponding cell categories and colored according to its cell type identity.

⁽C) Expression patterns of selected markers projected on the UMAP plot (A). For each cell cluster, 1 cell marker is shown in the main figure, accompanied by a gallery of additional markers in Figure S2.

See also Figures S1 and S2.





Figure 2. Gene expression dynamics during the development of human PGCs to adult spermatogonia (A) Focused analysis (t-SNE and pseudotime) of the profiled germ cells (cluster 12 from Figure 1B) combined with infant germ cells and adult spermatogonia states (from Guo et al., 2018) revealed a single pseudo-developmental trajectory for germ cell development from embryo to adult. Cells are colored based on the ages of the donors.

0 SSCs ("state 0 network"; Figures S4C and S4F). We identified 190 genes and 8,841 interactions, and present the top 9 hub genes. Among them, *EGR4*, *CAMK2B*, *MSL3*, *PLPPR5*, *APBB1*, and *PIWIL4* were already identified in prior work (Guo et al., 2018; Sohni et al., 2019), whereas here, *NRG2*, *RGS14*, and *DUSP5* emerge as additional factors. Thus, our analysis confirms the roles of many known factors and provides a list of key candidate genes with less-studied functions in germ cell development, providing multiple avenues for future studies.

Embryonic specification and fetal development of interstitial and Sertoli lineages

Our cell type analyses revealed that the human embryonic and fetal testis stages consist primarily of somatic niche cells, including Sertoli cells and interstitial cells (including Leydig cells) (Figures S1B and S1C). Notably, we did not observe cells that resemble fetal myoid cells by examining myoid markers, including ACTA2 and MYH11, which contrasts with observations in mice (Wen et al., 2016). Here, our profiling of early embryonic (weeks 6-7) testes provided the opportunity to examine Sertoli and interstitial/Leydig cell specification. To this end, we parsed out the fetal somatic niche cells that belong to the interstitial/Leydig and Sertoli lineages, along with the early cells of indeterminate cell type (clusters 1-8 and 10 from Figure 1B), and performed further analysis. Interestingly, reclustering and subsequent pseudotime analysis revealed one cell cluster at early pseudotime, which transcriptionally bifurcates into two distinct lineages later in pseudotime (Figure 3A). Notably, the early cluster was composed exclusively of cells from weeks 6-7, whereas cells from week 7 onward align along 2 distinct paths (Figures 3A, 3B, and S5A). Examination of known markers suggested that the 2 developmental paths represent Sertoli (left trajectory) or interstitial/Leydig (right trajectory) lineages, respectively (Figures 3C and 3D), and the existence of a heterogeneous pool of cells at weeks 6-7 from which both of these trajectories originate, raising the possibility of a common somatic progenitor population. Based on our clustering analysis, we then classified the embryonic-fetal interstitial and Sertoli development into seven stages (A-G), beginning with candidate common somatic progenitors (A) that differentiate into embryonic interstitial/Leydig progenitors (B), which undergo active proliferation (expressing high MKI67). The mostly quiescent embryonic Sertoli progenitors emerge at around week 7 (F). The embryonic interstitial progenitors (A) appear to differentiate into fetal interstitial progenitors (C and D) and also fetal Leydig cells (E), and embryonic Sertoli progenitors will differentiate into fetal Sertoli cells (G). Thus, our computational analysis suggests a heterogeneous multipotential progenitor for interstitial cells and Sertoli



cells at 6–7 weeks, which then differentiates into Sertoli and interstitial (including Leydig) lineages between weeks 7 and 8.

To further define the gene expression programs that accompany male sex determination, we performed gene expression clustering analysis (k-means) to identify the gene groups that display dynamic expression patterns along the pseudotime developmental trajectories (Figure 4A; Table S1). Notably, the candidate progenitors (at weeks 6–7) express multiple notable transcription factors, including *GATA2*, *GATA3*, *NR2F1*, *HOXA*, and *HOXC* factors and others, with enriched GO terms that include signaling and vasculature development. In particular, several genes involved in tube development (e.g., *TBX3*, *ALX1*, *HOXA5*) are specifically expressed in these candidate progenitors, which is consistent with the initiation of tubule formation to create the testis cords at week 6 (Figures 4A and S5B).

This population of cells then bifurcates into distinct transcriptional programs consistent with embryonic Leydig or Sertoli cell progenitors. Along the Sertoli lineage, expressed genes are associated with chromatin assembly, extracellular region, and filament formation. Along the Levdig lineage, cells first express genes related to DNA replication, proliferation, and cell cycle, indicating a phase of Leydig lineage amplification, consistent with a much higher number of cells present on the Leydig lineage trajectory at and after 8 weeks compared to the Sertoli lineage (Figures 3B, 4A, and S5A). This is followed in the Leydig lineage by the upregulation of terms linked to extracellular matrix, cell adhesion and glycoproteins, and components and gene targets associated with both Notch and Hedgehog signaling. Consistent with the known roles of fetal Leydig cells in androgen production in mice (Shima et al., 2013, 2015), fetal Leydig cells placed at the end of pseudotime express high levels of genes related to steroid biosynthesis (e.g., HSD3B2: Figure 3D) and secretion. Interestingly, these cells emerge very early, by week 7, and persist for the remainder of the stages examined, suggesting both an early and a persistent role. For the Sertoli lineage, the fetal Sertoli cells express high levels of genes associated with structural functions. To validate the temporal features of steroidogenesis genes, we performed IF staining of CYP17A1, a marker for steroidogenesis highly expressed in fetal Leydig cells (Shima et al., 2013; Figures 4B and S5D). Notably, we found that CYP17A1 is absent in the genital ridge epithelium at 5.5 weeks, whereas robust staining is observed in the interstitial (non-cord) areas in all samples at \geq 7 weeks, strongly suggesting that Leydig cell specification occurs at around week 7, consistent with our scRNA-seq findings. Furthermore, we observed that at week 8, not all interstitial cells are positive for CYP17A1. Here, we speculate that the fetal CYP17A1⁻ interstitial cells may be the interstitial cell population that gives rise to postnatal Leydig and peritubular cells.

See also Figures S3 and S4 and Table S1.

⁽B) Expression patterns of known PGC and germ cell markers projected onto the tSNE plot from (A).

⁽C) k-means clustering of genes exhibiting differential expression (n = 2,448) along the germ cell pseudo-developmental trajectory. Each row represents a gene, and each column represents a single cell, with columns/cells placed in the pseudotime order defined in (A). Differential gene expression levels use a Z score as defined by the color key; associated GO terms (using DAVID version 6.7) are given on the right of the corresponding gene clusters.

⁽D) Protein co-immunofluorescence for markers of proliferation (MKI67, yellow), pluripotency (NANOG, magenta), and germ cells (DDX4, cyan) in samples from 5 to 19 weeks, and their corresponding quantification.

⁽E) Protein co-immunofluorescence for germ cell (DDX4) and state 0 (PIWIL4) markers in samples from 8 to 17 weeks.

⁽F) Quantification of the proportion of PIWIL4⁺ germ cells (DDX4⁺) in weeks 12–16 fetal testis samples. At least 100 cells per replicate and 3 replicates were counted. Each replicate was from a unique donor. Data show the means \pm SEMs (1-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey's post-test). Adjusted *p = 0.0136, **p = 0.0048, and ***p \leq 0.0008.





Figure 3. The specification of interstitial and Sertoli lineages

(A) Focused analysis (UMAP and pseudotime) of the testicular niche cells (clusters 1–11 from Figure 1B), with cells colored according to the ages of the donors. (B) Deconvolution of the plot in (A) according to the ages of the donors.

(C) Focused analysis (in A) of the testicular niche cells (clusters 1–11 from Figure 1B), with cells colored according to the ages/donors of origin. (D) Expression patterns of known progenitor, interstitial/Leydig, and Sertoli markers projected onto the plot from (A).

See also Figure S5.

Relationship between fetal and infant Leydig and Sertoli cells

Our datasets provided an opportunity to compare and contrast fetal versus postnatal human Leydig and Sertoli cells. We found 396 or 703 genes differentially expressed (upregulated or downregulated, respectively) when comparing fetal to infant Leydig cells, respectively (bimodal test; adjusted p < 0.01; |logFC| > 0.25) (Figure 4C). As Leydig cells transition from fetal to infant, genes associated with the extracellular matrix, secretion, cell adhesion and hormonal response are upregulated, while those





(legend on next page)



with mitochondrial function and steroid biosynthesis (e.g., CYP17A1, HSD3B2, STAR) are downregulated (Figure 4C). Likewise, we found 536 or 248 genes differentially expressed in the infant or fetal Sertoli cells, respectively (Figure 4D). As Sertoli cells transition from fetal to infant, genes associated with translation and respiratory chain are upregulated, and these cells with endoplasmic reticulum and steroid biosynthesis are downregulated (Figure 4D). To confirm, we performed IF staining of CYP17A1 (Shima et al., 2013) and found that its expression is undetected in the postnatal samples (Figure 4E), suggesting that fetal Leydig cells disappear or differentiate after birth in humans, which is consistent with discoveries in mice (Svingen and Koopman, 2013). Our results suggest that human fetal Leydig and Sertoli cells both exhibit expression of steroid biosynthetic genes, whereas this property is downregulated in the postnatal samples tested.

Our prior work based on juvenile human testes showed that Leydig and myoid cells share a common progenitor at prepubertal stages (Guo et al., 2020). To gain a deeper understanding of the relationship between the fetal interstitial progenitors and prepubertal Leydig/myoid progenitors, as well as insight into how the common progenitor for the Leydig and myoid lineage is specified from fetal and postnatal precursor cells, we performed additional analysis. Here, we combined in silico the scRNA-seq datasets from fetal interstitial cells (clusters C, D, and E from Figure 3C), neonatal Leydig cells (Sohni et al., 2019), and the postnatal and adult Leydig/myoid cells (Guo et al., 2020). Following cell combination, we performed Monocle pseudotime analysis, which aims to provide the developmental order of the analyzed cells through computational prediction (Figures 4F and 4G). Here, the pseudotime trajectories (depicted by the dashed arrows in Figure 4F) agree nicely with developmental order based on age (Figure 4G), suggesting that fetal interstitial progenitor cells give rise to the postnatal and prepubertal Leydig/myoid progenitor cells. In addition, the analysis suggests that the fetal Leydig cells, which originate from the fetal interstitial progenitors, are absent in the postnatal and infant stages, a result confirmed by our immunostaining data (Figure 4E).

Key factors correlated with embryonic specification of interstitial and Sertoli lineages

Whereas testicular niche cells from 8 to 16 weeks expressed transcription factors characteristic of advanced interstitial or Sertoli cell lineages, the cells from the 6-week gonads lack these late markers, which initially emerge at week 7 (Figures 3A–3C). To better understand the genes expressed during the time of so-

Cell Stem Cell Resource

matic specification, we parsed out the 6- and 7-week cells (from Figure 3A) and performed a more detailed analysis. Here, principal-component analysis (PCA) of the 6- and 7-week cells revealed that a large portion of the cells did not display markers distinctive for either interstitial or Sertoli cells (Figure 5A), suggesting a heterogeneous population in which the Sertoli and Leydig/interstitial precursors are emerging. An orthogonal analysis via Monocle also confirmed similar patterns and properties (Figures S6C–S6E). Based on the gene expression patterns (Figure 5B), we can assign the cells at the bottom as the embryonic interstitial/Leydig lineage (expressing *DLK1* and *TCF21*), and the cells at the top right as the embryonic Sertoli lineage (expressing *SRY*, *DMRT1*, *SOX9*, *AMH*, and others).

Next, we sought to identify candidate key transcription factors that may participate in initial somatic lineage specification (Figure 5B). Interestingly, a set of GATA family factors displayed sequential and largely non-overlapping patterns: GATA3 expression was earliest, at the top and left edge of the PCA plot (mostly 7 week), GATA2 started to express somewhat later, and GATA4 was expressed in a later population that was progressing toward the Sertoli lineage. Many other factors also display sequential expression. For example, NR2F1, MAFB, and TCF21 show relatively early expression (similar to GATA2), while TCF21 expression persists through the development of the Leydig lineage, but not the Sertoli lineage. Notably, both ARX and NR0B1 are expressed at the bifurcation stage. For the Sertoli lineage, these early markers cease expression at lineage specification, followed by the expression of SRY and DMRT1 as the earliest markers of the lineage, and then followed by SOX9.

Finally, we performed extensive IF to validate our genomics findings. We observed GATA3 throughout the genital ridge epithelium at week 5, which became restricted to a subpopulation of interstitial cells at weeks 6-7, and by week 8, GATA3 protein becomes undetectable (Figure 5C). In addition, GATA4 expression is evident both inside and outside the cords from week 6 and onward (Figures 5D and S5B). To evaluate Sertoli lineage specification, we stained for DMRT1 alongside either a germ cell marker (DDX4) or an additional Sertoli cell marker (SOX9) (Figures 5E and 5F). As expected, DMRT1 and SOX9 protein were undetectable in the GATA3/GATA2⁺ genital ridge epithelium containing DDX4⁺ PGCs at week 5 (Figure 5E). However, by 8 weeks (after cord formation), DMRT1⁺ and SOX9⁺ Sertoli cells are identified (Figure 5F). Taken together, our IF staining results confirm key markers discovered through our genomics approaches and provide additional insights into the physiology of testis cord development in the embryonic and fetal stages.

Figure 4. Gene expression dynamics during specification of interstitial and Sertoli lineages

 ⁽A) k-means clustering of genes exhibiting differential expression (n = 1,578) along interstitial/Leydig and Sertoli specification. Each row represents a gene, and each column represents a single cell, with columns/cells placed in the pseudotime order defined in Figure 3A. Differential gene expression levels use a *Z* score, as defined by the color key; associated GO terms (using DAVID version 6.7) are given on the right of the corresponding gene clusters.
(B) Immunostaining of Leydig marker CYP17A1 (cyan) in samples from 5 to 16 weeks.

⁽C and D) Analysis to reveal differentially expressed genes during Leydig (C) or Sertoli (D) cell differentiation from fetal to infant stages. Violin plot on the left of each panel displays the fold change (x axis) and adjusted p value (y axis). The right part of each panel represents the enriched GO terms and the associated p value. (E) Immunostaining of Leydig marker CYP17A1 (cyan) in fetal and postnatal testis samples.

⁽F) Pseudotime trajectory (combined Monocle analysis) of fetal interstitial cells, prepubertal Leydig/myoid cells, and the adult Leydig and myoid cells. Cells are colored according to their predicted locations along pseudotime. Neonatal data were from Sohni et al., 2019; 1-year-old and 25-year-old data were from Guo et al., 2018, and 7- to 14-year-old data were from Guo et al., 2020.

⁽G) Deconvolution of the Monocle pseudotime plot according to ages/donors of origin. See also Figure S6 and Table S2.



W10

W16

W17

(A) Principal-component analysis of testicular niche progenitors from 6- and 7-week cells, revealing the existence of interstitial/Leydig and Sertoli lineage

Cell Stem Cell Resource

W7

W8

W17

bifurcation.

Figure 5. Key transcription factors involving the specification of interstitial and Sertoli cells

CellPress

High



DISCUSSION

PGCs are specified in the early embryo, followed by migration to the genital ridge (Chen et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2016; Witchi, 1948). The genital ridge then undergoes exquisite developmental programming to form the somatic cells of the testicular niche that support the survival and differentiation of the male germline during fetal life. Although prior studies from mice provide rich knowledge of the formation and lineage specification in the embryonic testis (reviewed in Svingen and Koopman, 2013), our understanding of human embryonic and fetal testis development has been much less studied, particularly in regard to the specification of the somatic lineages. Here, through the application of single-cell sequencing of unselected testicular cells, together with IF staining, we provide a detailed molecular overview of human fetal testis development, to help delineate the temporal molecular changes involved in human embryonic and fetal testis development and further differentiation.

One critical question we aimed to address is the transition of PGCs into spermatogonia, specifically the transcriptional relationship of differentiating male human PGCs during fetal life to postnatal state 0 SSCs, which have been identified as the most undifferentiated male germline stem cells in human infants and adults (Guo et al., 2018; Sohni et al., 2019), as well as primates (Shami et al., 2020). Combined with prior work (Guo et al., 2017, 2018, 2020; Sohni et al., 2019), our current work provides an evidence-based and detailed model for human germline development that spans embryonic, fetal, infant, pubertal, and adult stages (Figure 6A). During 6-12 weeks postfertilization, as the male somatic cell linages are being specified, human male PGCs express high levels of transcription factors associated with pluripotency (e.g., POU5F1, NANOG), together with classic well-characterized PGC transcription factors (e.g., SOX17, TFAP2C) and are proliferative. At 14 weeks, a subpopulation of PGCs initiates repression of the pluripotency-like proaram, and extinguishes expression of the early PGC genes (Li et al., 2017), while simultaneously turning on the state f0 spermatogonia programs (e.g., PIWIL4, MSL3, EGR4, TSPAN33). These state f0 spermatogonia are transcriptionally highly similar to the state 0 spermatogonia, and are found from fetal stages through infants within the seminiferous cords. Interestingly, when we examine the expression patterns of many key PGC or state f0 markers in a prior FGC dataset (Li et al., 2017; Figure S4H), we found that the mitotically arrested FGCs exhibit specific and high expression of state 0 genes (e.g., PIWIL4, EGR4, MSL3, TSPAN33) and low expression of PGC genes (e.g., POU5F1, NANOG, TFAP2C, SOX17). This observation strongly suggests that the previously defined mitotically arrested FGCs (Li et al., 2017), which also emerge at ~14 weeks postfertilization (Figure S4I), are likely the same cells as the state f0 defined in our study. Here, our prior derivation of infant state 0 cellular identity and their demonstrated similarity to the fetal

Cell Stem Cell Resource

population in the present study defines a critical linkage: PGCs differentiate and transition into state f0 spermatogonia and reinforce their state 0-like transcriptome as they transition between fetal germ cells and postnatal germ cells. By 5 months, all of the germline cells display a state 0 spermatogonial transcriptome, and cells with a PGC transcriptome are below the limit of detection. Consistent with our observations at 5 months and in infants, state 0 markers are also expressed in human neonatal germ cells (Sohni et al., 2019). We have revealed that state 0-like spermatogonia originate from PGCs at around weeks 14–16 of fetal life and persist through all of the prenatal and postnatal developmental stages, to provide a pool of undifferentiated spermatogonia in adults available for niche-guided transitions to more differentiated spermatogonial states and ultimately gametogenesis (Figure 6A).

Prior work in mouse models has revealed several factors and pathways that play important roles in lineage specification and progression of testicular somatic cells in mice (Liu et al., 2016; Svingen and Koopman, 2013; Yao et al., 2002). Recently, scRNA-seq has proven to be a powerful tool to study embryonic and neonatal mouse testis development (Stévant et al., 2019; Tan et al., 2020). Here, our work demonstrates that several key factors in early somatic lineages (e.g., WT1, NR2F1, SOX9, SRY, DMRT1) are shared between humans and mice. Furthermore, through our systematic examination of prenatal human testes via single-cell profiling and IF staining, we provide many additional candidate factors for future characterization, and reveal multiple human-mouse differences. For example, through IF staining of the genital ridge epithelium, we find no evidence of Sertoli cell or Leydig cell identity before 6 weeks postfertilization. Then, starting at week 6, our unbiased/unselected single cell transcriptome profiling identified rare fetal Leydig- and Sertolilike cells. We also identified in pseudotime a large, closely related population of cells that is heterogeneously positive for developmental transcription factors, notably NR2F1, GATA3, and GATA4 RNA. GATA3 protein analysis demonstrated that GATA3 is uniformly expressed by the genital ridge epithelium at week 5 postfertilization before specification of Sertoli and Leydig cells. Notably, at week 6, when cord formation initiates, GATA3 expression is restricted to a subpopulation of cells in the interstitium. In counterdistinction, GATA4 expression is evident and broad at 6-7 weeks postfertilization, and remains detectable at 17 weeks postfertilization. In the mouse embryo, GATA4 is known to be critical for genital ridge formation, and in the absence of GATA4, the bipotential gonads do not form (Hu et al., 2013). Given that GATA3 is expressed in the genital ridge epithelium before GATA4, we speculate that GATA3 may have a role in specifying the genital ridge in humans, whereas GATA4 instead may be involved in maintaining the somatic cell lineages after 6 weeks postfertilization, when GATA3 expression is reduced. In the mouse, NR5A1 (also called SF1) is another major transcription factor required for specifying the genital ridge

(F) Co-staining of 2 Sertoli cell markers, DMRT1 and SOX9, in the 5.5- to 17-week samples. See also Figure S6.

⁽B) Expression patterns of key factors that show specific patterns during the progenitor differentiation.

⁽C) Staining of transcription factors GATA3 (cyan) in the 5- and 8-week samples.

⁽D) Staining of transcription factors GATA4 (cyan) in the 6- and 17-week samples.

⁽E) Co-staining of Sertoli (DMRT1, magenta) and germ cell (DDX4, cyan) markers in the 5- and 8-week samples.









Figure 6. Proposed models for human germline development and somatic niche cell specification during prenatal and postnatal stages (A) Schematic summarizing the combined gene expression programs and cellular events accompanying human PGC differentiation into adult SSCs. (B) The timeline and proposed model for human testicular somatic niche cell development at embryonic, fetal, and postnatal stages. Specification of a unique progenitor cell population toward Sertoli and interstitial/Leydig lineages begins at around 7 weeks postfertilization, when the cord formation occurs.

epithelium (Hatano et al., 1996; Luo et al., 1994). However, we do not observe clear expression of NR5A1 in the GATA3⁺ human progenitors, providing a second example in which formation of the genital ridge epithelium in human embryos appears different from the mouse (Figure S5B). Analysis at the week 6–7 time point suggests that Leydig and Sertoli cell specification occurs at or near the same developmental time. Our IF studies at week 7 show both Sertoli cells in cords and Leydig cells outside the cords. This result represents a major difference from the mouse, in which Sertoli cells are specified first, and then Leydig cells are subsequently specified (Svingen and Koopman, 2013). Considering that the size of the fetal human testis is proportionally much larger than that of mice, the human testis progenitors may commit relatively early in development, followed by waves of proliferation, which may partly explain the developmental differences.

In addition to being specified at an equivalent developmental stage, we also discovered that the 6- and 7-week somatic niche progenitors expressed markers consistent with their ability to differentiate into interstitial/Leydig and Sertoli lineages by transiently expressing (in a small subset of cells) key transcription factors, including ARX, NR0B1, or SRY. This identity is further reinforced at 8 weeks, when all cells are distinguishable as interstitial/Leydig or Sertoli lineage cells. Notably, the establishment of the male somatic cell lineages in the embryonic testis occurs almost 2 months before the PGCs begin differentiating into state f0 (at 14-18 weeks). In contrast, in mice, there is only a 2-day delay in the timing of the male niche cell differentiation (at day 12) to the initiation of mouse PGC differentiation into prospermatogonia (at embryonic day 14) (Saitou and Yamaji, 2012; Svingen and Koopman, 2013; Western et al., 2008). The purpose of this 2-month delay in which human PGCs are shielded from initiating differentiation into state f0 spermatogonia in the seminiferous cord niche may be related to the need to increase the number of male germ cells through proliferation, given that these cells are MKI67⁺, before initiation of state f0 differentiation and male-specific epigenetic reprogramming (Figure 6B).

The testis produces gametes in adult males through continuous niche-guided differentiation of SSCs, and a deep





understanding of this biology is needed to improve male reproductive health. Here, our work provides major insights into defining the timing and strategy of human testis formation and its development before and after birth. Notably, the state f0 germ cells that emerge at \sim 15 weeks during fetal life display remarkable similarities to the infant and adult state 0 cells, and thus allow us to link and depict the complete developmental progression of PGCs to adult state 0 cells. Furthermore, we provide detailed molecular characterization of a common somatic progenitor pool and its amplification and transition to testicular niche cells, as well as initial insights into testicular cord formation and possible roles in guiding germ cell development. These results should provide a foundation for future hypothesis-driven research, and could also help guide the reconstruction and study of the human early testis *in vitro*.

Limitations of study

Our present work focuses primarily on transcriptomic profiling with the additional protein validation of key markers; however, RNA expression does not always linearly reflect protein abundance. For example, as PGCs transition to state f0 SSCs (at/after 14 weeks), transcript levels for key PGC markers (e.g., DDX4, NANOG, MKI67) fall abruptly, whereas their protein levels reduce gradually, suggesting that complex posttranscriptional mechanisms exist to modulate protein levels. Furthermore, given the variations that may exist among different embryos and the challenges in accurately assessing the embryo ages, future studies with additional samples may refine the temporal aspects of our findings and may also reveal additional details regarding developmental processes and transitions. For example, although we know the transition from PGC to state f0 begins at ~week 14, there could be heterogeneity and individual variation regarding the time at which this conversion is complete. Finally, our identification of a common human fetal somatic cell precursor was based on transcriptional profiling and computational prediction. Here, further studies that use lineage tracing approaches in nonhuman primate models may provide a more definitive test of our model.

STAR * METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
 - Lead contact
 - Materials availability
 - Data and code availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
- METHOD DETAILS
 - Sample transportation and storage
 - Human testis sample preparation for single cell RNA sequencing
 - Single cell RNA-seq performance, library preparation and sequencing
 - O Processing of single cell RNA-seq data
 - Immunostaining of testicular tissues
 - Microscopy

• QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Weighted correlation network analysis

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. stem.2020.12.004.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the donors and their families, who made this work possible. We thank Brian Dalley and Opal Allen in the HCI High-Throughput Genomics Shared Resource for sequencing expertise, Chris Stubben and Tim Parnell in the HCl Bioinformatics Share Resource for bioinformatics assistance, and the DonorConnect staff for family consents and postnatal sample handling. Financial support was provided by Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI) to B.R.C. and NCI P30CA042014 to Huntsman Cancer Institute core facilities. We thank the Technology Center for Genomics and Bioinformatics at the UCLA Johnson Comprehensive Cancer Center (JCCC) and the Next Generation Sequencing core at BSCRC for help with genomics approaches, and the Translational Pathology Core Laboratory for help with histology. We thank microscopy cores at the UCLA Eli and Edythe Broad Center of Regenerative Medicine and Stem Cell Research Center (BSCRC) for help with imaging. Financial support was from the NIH to A.T.C. (R01HD079546). K.P. was supported by the BSCRC at UCLA, the David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, the UCLA JCCC, the NIH (R01HD098387 and P01 GM099134), and a Faculty Scholar grant from HHMI. T.C. was supported by a Boehringer Ingelheim PhD fellowship. E.S. was supported by the BSCRC Postdoctoral Fellowship at UCLA. We acknowledge Ian Glass from the University of Washington's Birth Defects laboratory for fetal tissue, supported by NIH human fetal tissue research grant no. 5R24HD000836-53. J.B.S. was supported by the Swedish Childhood Cancer Foundation (PR2019-0123; TJ2020-0026), the Magnus Bergvall Foundation, the Birgitta and Carl-Axel Rydbeck research Grant for Pediatric Research, and the Swedish Research Council (2018-03094).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.G., A.T.C., and B.R.C. conceived and supervised the project. T.C. collected and processed the embryonic and fetal samples. J.G. collected and processed the postnatal tissues, and conducted all of the computational analyses, with help from X.N. Prenatal sample acquisition was led by A.T.C., K.P., and J.-B.S. Postnatal sample acquisition was led by J.M.H., with input from B.R.C. and J.G. E.S., E.J.R., and E.O. performed all of the IF experiments, which were supervised by A.T.C. and J.B.S. The manuscript was written by J.G. and B.R.C., with input from A.T.C. and the agreement of all of the authors.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: May 8, 2020 Revised: October 7, 2020 Accepted: December 8, 2020 Published: January 15, 2021

REFERENCES

Borgmann, J., Tüttelmann, F., Dworniczak, B., Röpke, A., Song, H.-W., Kliesch, S., Wilkinson, M.F., Laurentino, S., and Gromoll, J. (2016). The human RHOX gene cluster: target genes and functional analysis of gene variants in infertile men. Hum. Mol. Genet. *25*, 4898–4910.

Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E., and Satija, R. (2018). Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. Nat. Biotechnol. *36*, 411–420.

Chen, D., Sun, N., Hou, L., Kim, R., Faith, J., Aslanyan, M., Tao, Y., Zheng, Y., Fu, J., Liu, W., et al. (2019). Human Primordial Germ Cells Are Specified from Lineage-Primed Progenitors. Cell Rep. *29*, 4568–4582.e5.

Resource



Gkountela, S., Zhang, K.X., Shafiq, T.A., Liao, W.-W., Hargan-Calvopiña, J., Chen, P.-Y., and Clark, A.T. (2015). DNA Demethylation Dynamics in the Human Prenatal Germline. Cell *161*, 1425–1436.

Guo, F., Yan, L., Guo, H., Li, L., Hu, B., Zhao, Y., Yong, J., Hu, Y., Wang, X., Wei, Y., et al. (2015). The Transcriptome and DNA Methylome Landscapes of Human Primordial Germ Cells. Cell *161*, 1437–1452.

Guo, J., Grow, E.J., Yi, C., Mlcochova, H., Maher, G.J., Lindskog, C., Murphy, P.J., Wike, C.L., Carrell, D.T., Goriely, A., et al. (2017). Chromatin and Single-Cell RNA-Seq Profiling Reveal Dynamic Signaling and Metabolic Transitions during Human Spermatogonial Stem Cell Development. Cell Stem Cell *21*, 533–546.e6.

Guo, J., Grow, E.J., Mlcochova, H., Maher, G.J., Lindskog, C., Nie, X., Guo, Y., Takei, Y., Yun, J., Cai, L., et al. (2018). The adult human testis transcriptional cell atlas. Cell Res. 28, 1141–1157.

Guo, J., Nie, X., Giebler, M., Mlcochova, H., Wang, Y., Grow, E.J., Kim, R., Tharmalingam, M., Matilionyte, G., Lindskog, C., et al. (2020). The Dynamic Transcriptional Cell Atlas of Testis Development during Human Puberty. Cell Stem Cell *26*, 262–276.e4.

Hanley, N.A., Hagan, D.M., Clement-Jones, M., Ball, S.G., Strachan, T., Salas-Cortés, L., McElreavey, K., Lindsay, S., Robson, S., Bullen, P., et al. (2000). SRY, SOX9, and DAX1 expression patterns during human sex determination and gonadal development. Mech. Dev. *91*, 403–407.

Hatano, O., Takakusu, A., Nomura, M., and Morohashi, K. (1996). Identical origin of adrenal cortex and gonad revealed by expression profiles of Ad4BP/SF-1. Genes Cells *1*, 663–671.

Hermann, B.P., Cheng, K., Singh, A., Roa-De La Cruz, L., Mutoji, K.N., Chen, I.-C., Gildersleeve, H., Lehle, J.D., Mayo, M., Westernströer, B., et al. (2018). The Mammalian Spermatogenesis Single-Cell Transcriptome, from Spermatogonial Stem Cells to Spermatids. Cell Rep. 25, 1650–1667.e8.

Hu, Y.-C., Okumura, L.M., and Page, D.C. (2013). Gata4 is required for formation of the genital ridge in mice. PLoS Genet. *9*, e1003629.

Huang, W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009). Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat. Protoc. *4*, 44–57.

Kanatsu-Shinohara, M., and Shinohara, T. (2013). Spermatogonial stem cell self-renewal and development. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. *29*, 163–187.

Kobayashi, T., Zhang, H., Tang, W.W.C., Irie, N., Withey, S., Klisch, D., Sybirna, A., Dietmann, S., Contreras, D.A., Webb, R., et al. (2017). Principles of early human development and germ cell program from conserved model systems. Nature *546*, 416–420.

Langfelder, P., and Horvath, S. (2008). WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinformatics *9*, 559.

Li, L., Dong, J., Yan, L., Yong, J., Liu, X., Hu, Y., Fan, X., Wu, X., Guo, H., Wang, X., et al. (2017). Single-Cell RNA-Seq Analysis Maps Development of Human Germline Cells and Gonadal Niche Interactions. Cell Stem Cell *20*, 858–873.e4.

Liu, C., Rodriguez, K., and Yao, H.H.-C. (2016). Mapping lineage progression of somatic progenitor cells in the mouse fetal testis. Development *143*, 3700–3710.

Luo, X., Ikeda, Y., and Parker, K.L. (1994). A cell-specific nuclear receptor is essential for adrenal and gonadal development and sexual differentiation. Cell 77, 481–490.

Ma, L., Buchold, G.M., Greenbaum, M.P., Roy, A., Burns, K.H., Zhu, H., Han, D.Y., Harris, R.A., Coarfa, C., Gunaratne, P.H., et al. (2009). GASZ is essential for male meiosis and suppression of retrotransposon expression in the male germline. PLoS Genet. *5*, e1000635.

Mamsen, L.S., Ernst, E.H., Borup, R., Larsen, A., Olesen, R.H., Ernst, E., Anderson, R.A., Kristensen, S.G., and Andersen, C.Y. (2017). Temporal expression pattern of genes during the period of sex differentiation in human embryonic gonads. Sci. Rep. 7, 15961.



Otasek, D., Morris, J.H., Bouças, J., Pico, A.R., and Demchak, B. (2019). Cytoscape Automation: empowering workflow-based network analysis. Genome Biol. 20, 185.

Paniagua, R., and Nistal, M. (1984). Morphological and histometric study of human spermatogonia from birth to the onset of puberty. J. Anat. *139*, 535–552.

Pastor, W.A., Stroud, H., Nee, K., Liu, W., Pezic, D., Manakov, S., Lee, S.A., Moissiard, G., Zamudio, N., Bourc'his, D., et al. (2014). MORC1 represses transposable elements in the mouse male germline. Nat. Commun. *5*, 5795.

Qiu, X., Mao, Q., Tang, Y., Wang, L., Chawla, R., Pliner, H.A., and Trapnell, C. (2017). Reversed graph embedding resolves complex single-cell trajectories. Nat. Methods *14*, 979–982.

Saitou, M., and Yamaji, M. (2012). Primordial germ cells in mice. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. *4*, a008375.

Sasaki, K., Nakamura, T., Okamoto, I., Yabuta, Y., Iwatani, C., Tsuchiya, H., Seita, Y., Nakamura, S., Shiraki, N., Takakuwa, T., et al. (2016). The Germ Cell Fate of Cynomolgus Monkeys Is Specified in the Nascent Amnion. Dev. Cell *39*, 169–185.

Shami, A.N., Zheng, X., Munyoki, S.K., Ma, Q., Manske, G.L., Green, C.D., Sukhwani, M., Orwig, K.E., Li, J.Z., and Hammoud, S.S. (2020). Single-Cell RNA Sequencing of Human, Macaque, and Mouse Testes Uncovers Conserved and Divergent Features of Mammalian Spermatogenesis. Dev. Cell *54*, 529–547.e12.

Shima, Y., Miyabayashi, K., Haraguchi, S., Arakawa, T., Otake, H., Baba, T., Matsuzaki, S., Shishido, Y., Akiyama, H., Tachibana, T., et al. (2013). Contribution of Leydig and Sertoli cells to testosterone production in mouse fetal testes. Mol. Endocrinol. *27*, 63–73.

Shima, Y., Matsuzaki, S., Miyabayashi, K., Otake, H., Baba, T., Kato, S., Huhtaniemi, I., and Morohashi, K. (2015). Fetal Leydig Cells Persist as an Androgen-Independent Subpopulation in the Postnatal Testis. Mol. Endocrinol. *29*, 1581–1593.

Sohni, A., Tan, K., Song, H.-W., Burow, D., de Rooij, D.G., Laurent, L., Hsieh, T.-C., Rabah, R., Hammoud, S.S., Vicini, E., and Wilkinson, M.F. (2019). The Neonatal and Adult Human Testis Defined at the Single-Cell Level. Cell Rep. 26, 1501–1517.e4.

Stévant, I., Kühne, F., Greenfield, A., Chaboissier, M.-C., Dermitzakis, E.T., and Nef, S. (2019). Dissecting Cell Lineage Specification and Sex Fate Determination in Gonadal Somatic Cells Using Single-Cell Transcriptomics. Cell Rep. *26*, 3272–3283.e3.

Svingen, T., and Koopman, P. (2013). Building the mammalian testis: origins, differentiation, and assembly of the component cell populations. Genes Dev. *27*, 2409–2426.

Tan, K., Song, H.-W., and Wilkinson, M.F. (2020). Single-cell RNAseq analysis of testicular germ and somatic cell development during the perinatal period. Development *147*, dev183251.

Tang, W.W.C., Dietmann, S., Irie, N., Leitch, H.G., Floros, V.I., Bradshaw, C.R., Hackett, J.A., Chinnery, P.F., and Surani, M.A. (2015). A Unique Gene Regulatory Network Resets the Human Germline Epigenome for Development. Cell *161*, 1453–1467.

Tang, W.W.C., Kobayashi, T., Irie, N., Dietmann, S., and Surani, M.A. (2016). Specification and epigenetic programming of the human germ line. Nat. Rev. Genet. *17*, 585–600.

Wang, M., Liu, X., Chang, G., Chen, Y., An, G., Yan, L., Gao, S., Xu, Y., Cui, Y., Dong, J., et al. (2018). Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Analysis Reveals Sequential Cell Fate Transition during Human Spermatogenesis. Cell Stem Cell 23, 599–614.e4.

Wen, Q., Wang, Y., Tang, J., Cheng, C.Y., and Liu, Y.-X. (2016). Sertoli Cell Wt1 Regulates Peritubular Myoid Cell and Fetal Leydig Cell Differentiation during Fetal Testis Development. PLoS ONE *11*, e0167920.

Western, P.S., Miles, D.C., van den Bergen, J.A., Burton, M., and Sinclair, A.H. (2008). Dynamic regulation of mitotic arrest in fetal male germ cells. Stem Cells *26*, 339–347.





Witchi, E. (1948). Migration of the germ cells of human embryos from the yolk sac to the primitive gonadal folds. In Contributions to Embryology, Volume 32, no. 209 (Carnegie Institution of Washington), pp. 67–80.

Yang, Y., Workman, S., and Wilson, M. (2018). The molecular pathways underlying early gonadal development. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 62, R47–R64.

Yao, H.H.-C., Whoriskey, W., and Capel, B. (2002). Desert Hedgehog/Patched 1 signaling specifies fetal Leydig cell fate in testis organogenesis. Genes Dev. *16*, 1433–1440.

Zheng, Y., Xue, X., Shao, Y., Wang, S., Esfahani, S.N., Li, Z., Muncie, J.M., Lakins, J.N., Weaver, V.M., Gumucio, D.L., and Fu, J. (2019). Controlled modelling of human epiblast and amnion development using stem cells. Nature 573, 421–425.



STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Rabbit polyclonal anti-PIWIL4, Dilution: 1:200	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#: PA5-3144, RRID: AB_2548922
Mouse monoclonal (CloneB56) anti-MKI67, Dilution: 1:200	BD Biosciences	Cat#: 556003, RRID: AB_396287,
Goat polyclonal anti-DDX4, Dilution: 1:100	R&D Systems,	Cat#: AF2030, RRID: AB_2277369
Rabbit monoclonal (D73G4) anti-NANOG, Dilution: 1:100	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat#:4903, RRID: AB_10559205,
Mouse monoclonal anti-CYP17A1, Dilution: 1:200	Santa Cruz Biotechnology,	Cat#: SC-374244, RRID: AB_10988393
Mouse monoclonal (1A12-1D9) anti- GATA3, Dilution: 1:100	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#: MA1028, RRID: AB_2536713,
Mouse monoclonal (G-4) anti-GATA4, Dilution: 1:100	Santa Cruz Biotechnology,	Cat#: SC-25310, RRID: AB_627667
Mouse monoclonal anti-DMRT1, Dilution: 1:100	Santa Cruz Biotechnology,	Cat#: SC-377167
Rabbit polyclonal anti-SOX9, Dilution: 1:200	Millipore,	Cat#: AB5535, RRID: AB_2239761
AF488 goat-anti mouse IgG2a	Invitrogen	Cat#: A21131, RRID: AB_2535771
AF594 donkey-anti-mouse IgG	Invitrogen	Cat#: A21203, RRID: AB_2535789
AF594 goat-anti-mouse IgG1,	Invitrogen	Cat#: A21125, RRID: AB_2535767
AF594 donkey-anti-rabbit IgG,	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat#: 711-585-152, RRID: AB_2340621
AF647 donkey-anti-goat IgG,	Invitrogen	Cat#: A21447, RRID: AB_2535864
Biological samples		
Human testis samples from postnatal donors	DonorConnect	N/A
Human testis samples from embryonic and fetal stages	University of Washington- Birth Defects Research Lab	N/A
Human testis samples from Jan's lab	Karolinska Institutet	N/A
Deposited data		
Single cell RNA-seq for embryonic and fetal human testes	This paper	GEO: GSE143356
Single cell RNA-seq for postnatal testes	This paper	GEO: GSE161617
Software and algorithms		
Seurat (2.3.4)	Butler et al., 2018	https://satijalab.org/seurat/
Monocle (2.10.1)	(Qiu et al., 2017)	http://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/monocle- release/
GO (David 6.7)	Huang et al., 2009	https://david-d.ncifcrf.gov
Cell Ranger (2.2.0)	NA	https://support.10xgenomics.com/single- cell-gene-expression/software/pipelines/ latest/what-is-cell-ranger
Cluster 3.0	NA	http://bonsai.hgc.jp/~mdehoon/software/ cluster/software.htm
WGCNA (1.68)	(Langfelder and Horvath, 2008)	https://horvath.genetics.ucla.edu/html/ CoexpressionNetwork/Rpackages/ WGCNA/Tutorials/
Cytoscape (3.7.2)	(Otasek et al., 2019)	https://cytoscape.org

(Continued on next page)



Continued			
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER	
Other			
Single cell RNA-seq for infant and adult human testes	Guo et al., 2018	GEO: GSE120508	
Single cell RNA-seq for neonatal human testes	Sohni et al., 2019	GEO: GSE124263	

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Bradley R. Cairns (brad. cairns@hci.utah.edu).

Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

All software tools can be found online (see Key resources table). The accession number for all sequencing data reported in this paper is GEO: GSE143356 and GEO:GSE161617.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Prenatal male gonads from 6 to 16 weeks post-fertilization were obtained from three collaborating laboratories at University of Washington Birth Defects Research Laboratory (BDRL), University of Tubingen and Karolinska Institutet. At BRDL, the prenatal gonads were obtained with regulatory oversight from the University of Washington IRB approved Human Subjects protocol, combined with a Certificate of Confidentiality from the Federal Government. The research project was also approved by the research ethics committee of the University of Tübingen. All consented material was donated anonymously and carried no personal identifiers. Human first trimester tissue was collected after elective surgical terminations with maternal written informed consent. The Regional Human Ethics Committee, Stockholm, Sweden, approved the collection (Dnr 2007/1477-31 with complementary permissions 2011/ 1101-32 and 2013/564-32. The ethical approval to perform the gonadal studies: Dnr 2013/457-31/4). Developmental age was documented by BDRL and University of Tübingen as days post fertilization using a combination of prenatal intakes and Carnegie staging. Developmental age was documented by Karolinska Institutet as days post fertilization by the examination of anatomical landmarks such as nervous system, limb, eye and gonadal development according to the atlas of England. Formalin fixed and paraffin embedded adult testis from biobank samples without underlaying testicular pathologies was obtained at the Department of Pathology at the Karolinska Institutet, and Karolinska University Hospital (ethical approval: Dnr 2014/267-31/4).

Postnatal human testicular sample (5 months old) was obtained through the University of Utah Andrology laboratory and Donor-Connect. This sample was removed from deceased individuals who consented to organ donation for transplantation and research.

METHOD DETAILS

Sample transportation and storage

The prenatal samples collected at BDRL used for single cell transcriptome profiling were shipped overnight in HBSS with an ice pack for immediate processing in Los Angeles. From University of Tübingen samples were delivered to UCLA within 24-48 hours after the procedure.

The postnatal whole testis was transported to the research laboratory on ice in saline and processed within 1 hour of removal by surgery. Around 90% of each testis was divided into smaller portions (\sim 500 mg – 1g each) using scissors and directly transferred into cryovials (Corning cat # 403659) in DMEM medium (Life Technologies cat # 11995073) containing 10% DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich cat # D8779), 15% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (GIBCO cat # 10082147) and cryopreserved in Mr. Frosty container (Thermo Fisher Scientific cat #5100-0001) at a controlled slow rate, and stored at -80° C for overnight. Cryovials were transferred to liquid nitrogen for long-term storage.

Human testis sample preparation for single cell RNA sequencing

Prenatal tissues were processed within 24-48 hours after termination. Upon arrival to UCLA tissues were gently washed with PBS and placed in dissociation buffer containing collagenase IV 10mg/ml (Life Technologies #17104-019), Dispase II 250 ug/ml (Life Technologies #17105041), DNase I 1:1000 (Sigma 4716728001), 10% FBS (Life Technologies 10099141) in 1x PBS. After every 5 minutes tissues were gently pipetted with P1000 pipette against the bottom of Eppendorf tube. This process was repeated 3 times for a total of 15 minutes. Afterward, cells were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 500 g and pellet was resuspended in 1x PBS with 0.04% BSA and



strained through 40µm strainer and counted using automated cell counter (Thermo Fisher, Countess II). The cell concentration was adjusted to 800-1200 cells per microliter and immediately used for scRNA-seq. For postnatal tissues, 1 cryovial of tissue was thawed quickly, which was then washed twice with PBS, and subject to digestion as described previously (Guo et al., 2018). Tissues were washed twice in 1 x PBS and minced into small pieces for better digestion outcome. Tissues were then treated with trypsin/ethyl-enediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA; Invitrogen cat # 25300054) for 20-25 min and collagenase type IV (Sigma Aldrich cat # C5138-500MG) at 37°C. Single testicular cells were obtained by filtering through 70 µm (Fisher Scientific cat # 08-771-2) and 40 µm (Fisher Scientific cat # 08-771-1) strainers. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 600 g for 15 min and washed with PBS twice. Cell number was counted using a hemocytometer, and the cells were then resuspended in PBS + 0.4% BSA (Thermo Fisher Scientific cat # AM2616) at a concentration of ~1,000 cells/uL ready for single-cell sequencing.

Single cell RNA-seq performance, library preparation and sequencing

We targeted to capture \sim 6,000-7,000 cells. The prenatal sequencing was conducted in UCLA, and the postnatal sequencing was conducted at University of Utah. Briefly, cells were diluted following manufacturer's instructions, and 33.8 µL of total mixed buffer together with cells were loaded into 10x Chromium Controller using the Chromium Single Cell 3' v3 reagents. The sequencing libraries were prepared following the manufacturer's instructions, using 13 cycles of cDNA amplification, followed by an input of \sim 100 ng of cDNA for library amplification using 12 cycles. The resulting libraries were then sequenced on a 2 X 150 cycle paired-end run on an Illumina Novaseq 6000 instruments.

Processing of single cell RNA-seq data

Raw data were demultiplexed using mkfastq application (Cell Ranger v2.2.0) to make Fastq files. Fastq files were then run with count application (Cell Ranger v2.2.0) using default settings, which performs alignment (using STAR aligner), filtering and UMI counting. The UMI count tables were used for further analysis.

Immunostaining of testicular tissues

Intact testes were fixed in 4% PFA at room temperature for 2 hours on a platform rocker. Tissues were washed 3 times with PBS for 10 minutes each wash then placed into paraffin blocks (Histogel,Thermo Scientific HG4000012) for sectioning onto slides. Sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated in a Xylene then ethanol series (100%, 95%, 70%, 50%, water) respectively. Antigen retrieval was performed in either Tris-EDTA solution (pH 9.0) or Sodium Citrate Solution (pH 6.0) in a hot water bath (95°C) for 40 minutes. Sections were washed in PBS, 0.2% Tween-20 (PBS-T) 3 times, 5 minutes each then permeabilized in PBS, 0.05% Trition X-100 for 20 minutes. Sections were blocked with blocking solution (10% Normal Donkey Serum (NDS), PBS-T) for 30 minutes at room temperature in a humid chamber. Primary Antibodies were diluted in 2.5% NDS, PBS-T at the appropriate dilutions (see Key resources table) and incubated overnight at 4°C in a humid chamber. After 3 washes in PBS-T (5 minutes each) secondary antibodies were added and allowed to incubate at room temperature for 1 hour in a humid chamber. After 3 washes in PBS-T, DAPI was added to the sections. Coverslips were placed onto slides then sealed with nail polish. Slides were allowed to cure overnight, in the dark, at room temperature then subsequently stored at 4°C until ready to image. For sections stained with PIWIL4 antibody, the blocking buffer used was Superblock blocking buffer (Thermo Scientific 37580). In addition, the SignalBoost Immunoreaction Enhancer Kit (Millipore 407207) was used to dilute primary and secondary antibodies for experiments involving PIWIL4 antibody.

Microscopy

A Zeiss LSM 880 with Airyscan controlled by the Zen Black software, equipped with the Plan-Apochromat 20×/0.8 NA and the Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.4 NA M27 oil immersion objective, was used to acquire confocal images. Saved CZI files were converted to Imaris format files (.ims) using the Imaris File converter (Bitplane), then processed using the image analysis software IMARIS 9.3 (Bitplane). An Olympus BX-61 light microscope was used to examine Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) stained slides. The ImageJ stitch function uses similar features/structures from a collection of images to make a fused image, therefore each image has some overlap with the previous image taken. Briefly, H&E images were taken with the 20x objective. In ImageJ under the Plugins dropdown box we chose the Stitching plugin and then selected the Grid/Collection Stitching function. In the "Type" box we selected "unknown position" and chose "all files in directory" for the "Order". For the Fusion Method we used Linear Blending. The Regression threshold was set at 0.30. The Max/avg displacement threshold was set at 2.50 and the Absolute displacement threshold was set to 3.50. Stitched images were built using the ImageJ2(NIH) Grid/Collection Stitching plugin.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The Seurat program (https://satijalab.org/seurat/, R package, v.2.3.4) was used as a first analytical package. To start with, UMI count tables from both replicates from all four juvenile donors were loaded into R using Read10X function, and Seurat objects were built from each experiment. Each experiment was filtered and normalized with default settings. Specifically, cells were retained only if they contained > 500 expressed genes and had < 25% reads mapped to mitochondrial genome. t-SNE and clustering analysis were first run on each replicate, which resulted in similar t-SNE map. Data matrices from different donors and replicates were then combined with the previously published infant and adult data (Guo et al., 2018). Next, cells were normalized to the total UMI read counts, as





instructed in the tutorial (https://satijalab.org/seurat/). t-SNE and clustering analyses were performed on the combined data using the top 6,000 highly variable genes and 1-30 PCs, which showed the most significant p values.

Detailed pseudotime for different cell types were performed using the Monocle package (v2.10.1) following the default settings. After pseudotime coordinates/order were determined, gene clustering analysis was performed to establish the accuracy of pseudotime ordering. Here, cells (in columns) were ordered by their pseudotime, and genes (in rows) were clustered by k-means clustering using Cluster 3.0. Different k-mean numbers were performed to reach the optimal clustering number. Cell cycle analysis was performed using scran program (https://bioconductor.org/packages/3.7/bioc/vignettes/scran/inst/doc/scran.html, R Package; v1.6.5).

Weighted correlation network analysis

Hub genes in PGC, spermatogonia and State 0 were found by WGCNA (https://horvath.genetics.ucla.edu/html/ CoexpressionNetwork/Rpackages/WGCNA/Tutorials/, R package, v1.68). When finding hub genes in PGC and spermatogonia, gene expression data of 40 cells from PGC and State 0 respectively were randomly extracted from the UMI count tables of scRNA-seq data. Genes were filtered by selecting those genes expressed in more than 20 cells since scRNA-seq data had a high drop-out rate and low expression genes may represent noise. Then the counts were normalized by total reads (x*100000/total reads) and then log-transformed (log2(x+1)). Afterward, one-step network construction and module detection were performed. In this step, we chose parameters including signed hybrid network type, Pearson correlation method and the default soft-threshold power β to reach the scale-free network topology. To identify the modules that were significantly correlated with PGC or spermatogonia, biweight mid-correlation (robustY = FALSE) was used. The quality of the modules was checked by the strong correlation between module eigengenes and traits of interest as well as the strong correlation between gene module membership and gene-trait correlation. Finally, hub genes inside those modules were selected from the top 40 genes with the highest intramodular connectivity (sum of inmodule edge weights). Specifically, in order to find hub genes in State 0 rather than spermatogonia, we added gene expression data of 40 cells from State1 to rule out the genes expressing broadly in States 0-4 and performed the same analysis to determine the modules that were significantly correlated with State 0. Ten hub genes were selected by the same standard. Finally, the networks were visualized by Cytoscape Software 3.7.2.