Derivation of Primordial Germ Cells from Human Embryonic and Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Is Significantly Improved by Coculture with Human Fetal Gonadal Cells

Tae Sub Park, Zoran Galic, Anne E. Conway, Anne Lindgren, Benjamin J. van Handel, Mattias Magnusson, Laura Richter, Michael A. Teitell, Hanna K. A. Mikkola, William E. Lowry, Kathrin Plath, Amander T. Clark



STEM CELLS[®] Embryonic Stem Cells/Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells

Derivation of Primordial Germ Cells from Human Embryonic and Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Is Significantly Improved by Coculture with Human Fetal Gonadal Cells

TAE SUB PARK,^{a,b} ZORAN GALIC,^c ANNE E. CONWAY,^{a,b} ANNE LINDGREN,^{a,b} BENJAMIN J. VAN HANDEL,^{a,b} MATTIAS MAGNUSSON,^{a,b} LAURA RICHTER,^{a,b} MICHAEL A. TEITELL,^{d,e,f,g,h} HANNA K. A. MIKKOLA,^{a,b,f,g,h} WILLIAM E. LOWRY,^{a,b,f,g,h} KATHRIN PLATH,^{e,f,g,h,i} AMANDER T. CLARK^{a,b,f,g,h}

^aDepartment of Molecular Cell and Developmental Biology; ^bCollege of Letters and Science; ^cDepartment of Medicine; ^dDepartment of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine; ^eDavid Geffen School of Medicine; ^fJonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center; ^gMolecular Biology Institute; ^hEli and Edythe Broad Center of Regenerative Medicine and Stem Cell Research, and ⁱDepartment of Biological Chemistry, University of California, Los Angeles

Key Words. ESC germ cell • Fetus • Gonad • Pluripotent

Abstract

The derivation of germ cells from human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) or human induced pluripotent stem (hIPS) cells represents a desirable experimental model and potential strategy for treating infertility. In the current study, we developed a triple biomarker assay for identifying and isolating human primordial germ cells (PGCs) by first evaluating human PGC formation during the first trimester in vivo. Next, we applied this technology to characterizing in vitro derived PGCs (iPGCs) from pluripotent cells. Our results show that codifferentiation of hESCs on human fetal gonadal stromal cells significantly improves the efficiency of generating iPGCs. Furthermore, the efficiency was comparable between various pluripotent cell lines regardless of origin from the inner cell mass of human blastocysts (hESCs), or reprogramming of human skin fibroblasts (hIPS). To better characterize the iPGCs,

we performed Real-time polymerase chain reaction, microarray, and bisulfite sequencing. Our results show that iPGCs at day 7 of differentiation are transcriptionally distinct from the somatic cells, expressing genes associated with pluripotency and germ cell development while repressing genes associated with somatic differentiation (specifically multiple HOX genes). Using bisulfite sequencing, we show that iPGCs initiate imprint erasure from differentially methylated imprinted regions by day 7 of differentiation. However, iPGCs derived from hIPS cells do not initiate imprint erasure as efficiently. In conclusion, our results indicate that triple positive iPGCs derived from pluripotent cells differentiated on hFGS cells correspond to committed first trimester germ cells (before 9 weeks) that have initiated the process of imprint erasure. STEM CELLS 2009;27:783-795

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest is found at the end of this article.

INTRODUCTION

Germ cells are responsible for the fidelity of DNA inheritance from one generation to the next. Errors in germ cell differentiation, especially during fetal life can result in adverse future outcomes that include infertility, germ cell tumors, or birth defects in progeny. However, because of the lack of models for human fetal germ cell development, most of the underlying cell and molecular defects from which these problems originate are not well understood. The use of human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) for differentiation into the human germ line has been proposed as an important model for studying human fetal germ cell development [1]. Furthermore, there is potential for using gametes differentiated from either hESCs or human induced pluripotent stem (hIPS) cells as a therapy for couples with infertility [2].

In mammals, specification of the germ line has been evaluated most extensively in the mouse. Using the mouse as a model, it is clear that the murine germ line is specified

Author contributions: T.S.P.: concept and design, collection and assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation; Z.G.: collection and/or assembly of data; A.C.: collection and/or assembly of data; A.L.: collection and/or assembly of data; M.M.: collection and/or assembly of data; L.R.: collection and/or assembly of data; M.T.: data analysis and interpretation, manuscript writing; H.M.: collection and/or assembly of data; W.L.: collection and/or assembly of data; A.C.: concept and design, collection and/or assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, manuscript writing; K.P.: collection and/or assembly of data; A.C.: concept and design, collection and/or assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation and manuscript writing.

Correspondence: Amander T. Clark, Ph.D., 621 Charles E Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90019, USA. Telephone: 310-794-4201; Fax: 310-206-0484; e-mail: clarka@ucla.edu Received August 24, 2008; accepted for publication December 31, 2008; first published online in STEM CELLS *Express* January 22, 2009. © AlphaMed Press 1066-5099/2009/\$30.00/0 doi: 10.1002/stem.13

STEM CELLS 2009;27:783–795 www.StemCells.com

directly from the epiblast during implantation [3, 4]. Specification requires noncell autonomous signaling by bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) from the extraembryonic ectoderm and visceral endoderm to the proximal epiblast [5-10]. These newly derived germ cells, called primordial germ cells (PGCs), cluster outside the embryo before migrating through the hindgut endoderm and into the genital ridges. In mice, colonization of the genital ridges begins at embryonic (E) day E10.0, whereas in humans, colonization begins between 4 and 6 weeks of gestation (for review see [4, 11]). In mice, germ cells establish the chromatin framework during migration to generate the foundation for epigenetic reprogramming such as complete erasure of CpG methylation at imprinted genes during gonadal colonization. Therefore, isolating PGCs at these early stages will be important for future work in understanding human germ line reprogramming [12-18].

Generation of germ cells from murine embryonic stem cells (ESCs) has been well described [19-26]. In contrast, differentiation of germ cells from hESCs has been achieved, but is less extensively studied [27-32]. Furthermore, the capacity for hIPS cells to generate human germ cells in vitro is currently unknown. The most robust marker for identifying the presence of germ cells in a population is expression of the evolutionarily conserved RNA helicase VASA [33]. Although a highly specific marker for germ cells, in the absence of additional markers, VASA alone is not informative for defining specific stages of germ line development. Recently, CXCR4 and stage specific embryonic antigen 1 (SSEA1) were reported as novel cell surface markers for identifying human in vitro derived PGCs (iPGCs) during hESC differentiation [31, 32]. However, in these original studies, male hESC lines were not evaluated. Furthermore, a direct comparison between multiple independently-derived hESC lines to evaluate the general utility of surface biomarkers for identifying iPGCs has not been performed in detail.

In the current study, we evaluated cell surface markers that correlated with VASA expression during germ cell formation in the first trimester of human development in vivo. Next we used these surface markers to isolate putative iPGCs from hESC and hIPS cell lines after differentiation on primary human fetal gonadal stromal (hFGS) cells in vitro. Our results show that coculture of hESCs under differentiating conditions on hFGS cells significantly improves the efficiency of differentiating iPGCs, and that these cells correspond to first trimester PGCs that have initiated the process of imprint erasure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human Fetal Samples

Human embryos were obtained following elected termination and pathological evaluation at the UCLA Tissue Procurement Laboratory. All consented material was anonymous and carried no personal identifiers. Developmental age was determined by recall of the last menstrual period and subtraction of 2 weeks to account for time following fertilization to implantation. All human embryos used for this project were male. Use of human embryos was undertaken only after approval from the UCLA Institutional Review Board Approval for the protection of human subjects.

Human Embryonic Stem Cells and Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells

Information regarding the hESCs, HSF-1 (NIH code UC01, 46XY), HSF-6 (UC06, 46XX), and H9 (NIH code WA09, 46XX) can be obtained at http://stemcells.nih.gov/stemcells. Undifferenti-

ated hESC colonies were maintained as previously described [27]. For all experiments, hESCs were used between passages 40 and 50. The hIPS cell line 2 (hIPS2, 46XY) and hIPS1 (46XY) was cultured as previously described [34], except for the inclusion of primocin (InvivoGen, San Diego, CA, http://www.invivogen. com). Human IPS2 cells were evaluated between passages 37 and 46, where as hIPS1 was evaluated between passages 52 and 53. Pluripotency was evaluated by teratoma analysis using transplantation into the testicles of severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) mice. All cell lines generated robust teratomas in the testis with contribution to all three embryonic lineages (ectoderm, mesoderm, and endoderm). All hESC and hIPS experiments were conducted with prior approval from the UCLA Embryonic Stem Cell Research Oversight Committee. Teratoma analysis in SCID mice was conducted following approval by the UCLA Animal Research Committee.

Human Fetal Gonad Stromal Cells

Gonadal ridges from a 10-week-old human fetus was mechanically dissociated and incubated in 0.25% trypsin and 0.5 mM EDTA (Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, MD, http://www.gibcobrl. com) at 37°C for 10 minutes. After complete dissociation, cells were plated on tissue culture plates coated with gelatin and grown in DMEM (Gibco BRL) supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone, Logan, UT, http://www.hyclone.com), 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids (Gibco BRL), 0.1 mM β -mercaptoethanol (Gibco BRL), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco BRL), and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco BRL). Culture was grown at 5% CO₂ and passaged every 4-5 days by trypsinization with 0.25% trypsin and 0.5 mM EDTA (Gibco BRL) at 37°C for 5 minutes.

Human Placenta and Liver Stromal Cells

First trimester fetal liver (9 gestational week) and placenta (3.5 gestational week) were used to generate nongonadal stromal cell lines. The names of the two nongonadal stromal cell lines are PL137 (placenta) and FL128 (Liver). Stromal lines were cultured in 20% FBS (Hyclone), α -MEM (Gibco BRL), 1% penicillin/ stremptomycin (Gibco BRL).

For inactivation of stromal cells, 10 μ g/ml of mitomycin-C (Calbiochem, San Diego, http://www.emdbiosciences.com) was added to plate of confluent feeder cells, human placental or human fetal liver stromal cells and incubated at 37°C for 3 hours. After mitomycin-C incubation, cells were washed three times with Ca++ and Mg++ free phosphate buffered saline and recovered in fresh media for overnight at 37°C.

Immunofluorescence

Immunoflourescence was performed on 5-mm paraffin or frozen sections of male fetal gonads from 5 to 9 weeks of gestation (n = 4). Paraffin sections were deparaffinized prior to immersion in Tris-EDTA buffer (10 mM Tris base/1 mM EDTA/0.05% Tween20, pH 9.0) at 95°C for 40 minutes for antigen retrieval. Blocking was performed in 5% donkey serum in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 1 hour prior to incubation with primary antibodies (supporting information Table 1). All primary antibodies were detected with fluorescent dye-conjugated secondary antibodies (supporting information Table 1). TO-PRO three iodide (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, http://www.invitrogen.com) was used as a marker for cell nucleus. Magnetic activated cell sorting cKIT positive cells were centrifuged at 350 rpm for 5 minutes (Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA, http://www.thermo.com) and then stained with cKIT and VASA antibody after blocking with 5% donkey serum in PBS for 1 hour.

RNA Extraction and Polymerase Chain Reaction

Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany, http://www1.qiagen.com) according to manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA was quantified, and 1 μ g was used for

cDNA synthesis using random primers (Invitrogen) under standard conditions. Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) amplifications were conducted for 40 cycles of 95°C, 30 seconds; 60°C, 30 seconds; and 72°C, 30 seconds. Primer sequences are as follows: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) F 5'-acc acg tc cat gcc at cac-3', GAPDH R 5'-tcc acc acc ttg ttg ctg ta-3', SRY F 5'-aca gta aag gca acg tcc ag-3', SRY R 5'-atc tgc ggg aag caa act gc-3', antimullerian hormone (AMH) F 5'-acc tgg agg caa gcc ag-3', AMH R 5'-tac tca gcc ggg agt cct ct-3', CYP19 F 5'-cta aat tgc ccc tc tga ggt-3', and CYP19 R 5'-cca cac caa gag aaa ag gcc-3'.

Quantitative Real-time PCR was performed in duplicate for each sample from three separate experiments using TaqMan® Universial PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, http://www.appliedbiosystems.com). TaqMan PCR amplifications were initiated at 95°C for 10 minutes followed by cycles of 95°C, 15 seconds and 72°C, 90 seconds. All primers/probe mixtures were purchased from Applied Biosystems; Human *GAPDH* (HS99999905_m1), Human VASA (HS00251859_m1), *PRDM1* (HS01068508_m1), *DPPA3* (HS01931905_g1), *DAZL* (HS00154706_m1), *HOXA2* (HS00534579_m1), *HOXC5* (HS00232747_m1).

Germ Cell Differentiation from hESCs

Differentiation was performed on inactivated stromal cells plated at 2×10^5 cells per well in a six-well plate on 0.1% gelatin (Sigma). Differentiation media included DMEM/F12 (Gibco BRL) supplemented with 20% FBS (Gibco BRL), 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids (Gibco BRL), 0.1 mM β -mercaptoethanol (Gibco BRL), 1 mM L-glutamine (Gibco BRL). Media was changed every 2 days during differentiation.

Flow Cytometry

Cells were dissociated with 0.25% trypsin and 0.5 mM EDTA (Gibco BRL) at 37°C for 5 minutes and collected by centrifugation at 1,000 rpm for 5 minutes. Cells were incubated in 500 μ l of 1% BSA in PBS containing primary antibodies on ice for 20 minutes. Cells were washed and incubated in FITC (SSEA1)- or Cy5 (cKIT and placental alkaline phosphatase [PLAP])-conjugated secondary antibodies on ice for another 20 minutes. For intracellular staining of VASA protein, cells were permeablized in 250 µl fixation/permeablization solution (BD Bioscience, San Diego, http://www.bdbiosciences.com) on ice for 20 minutes and then washed in $1 \times$ BD Perm/WashTM buffer (BD Bioscience). Cells were labeled with Goat anti-human VASA antibody (R&D systems Inc., Minneapolis, http://www.rndsystems.com) in 1× BD Perm/WashTM buffer for 30 minutes. PE-conjugated donkey anti-goat IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratory, West Grove, PA, http://www.jacksonimmuno.com) was used as a secondary. Analysis was performed using an LSR II (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, http://www.bd.com) and FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, OR, http://www.treestar.com).

Bisulfite Sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted by ZR Genomic DNA II KitTM (Zymo Research, Orange, CA, http://www.zymoresearch.com) and then bisulfite converted using the EZ DNA Methylation KitTM (Zymo Research) according to manufacturer's instructions. Amplification of differentially methylated regions were performed in the presence of 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP, 10 pmole each primer, and 1 unit Platinum Taq polymerase (Invitrogen). PCR amplifications for H19 and PEG1 were initiated at 94°C for 3 minutes followed by 45 cycles of 94°C, 30 seconds; 58°C, 30 seconds; and 72°C, 30 seconds. Primer sequences are as follows [35], hH19F 5'-tgt ata gta tat ggg tat ttt tgg agg ttt-3', hH19R 5'-tcc tat aaa tat cct att ccc aaa taa cc-3', hPEG1F 5'-tyg ttg ttg gtt agt ttt gta ygg tt-3', hPEG1R 5'-aaa aat aac acc ccc tcc tca aat-3'. The primary PCR amplifications for SNRPN were initiated at 94°C for 3 minutes followed by 35 cycles of 94°C, 60 seconds; 51°C, 60 seconds; and 72°C, 60 sec

Microarray

HSF-1 and HSF-6 were differentiated on hFGS cells and sorted by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) for cKIT/SSEA1 double positive and double negative cells at day 7. The positive and negative samples were sorted into samples of equivalent cell numbers (70,000 cells per replicate) directly into the first buffer of the RNA extraction kit. Total RNA was extracted immediately after sorting using the RNeasy micro kit (Qiagen). Once all samples were collected from HSF-1 and HSF-6, one-round amplification was performed in parallel on all samples using the MessageAmpII (Ambion, Austin, TX, http://www.ambion.com) according to manufacturer's instructions. Microarrays were performed using the Affymetrix human chip, U133plus2.0 array and processed using the Affymetrix Mas5.0 software. Selection criteria for differential expression between the positive and negative population at day 7 of differentiation was calculated as greater than or equal to twofold, with an absolute difference greater than or equal to 100 with a statistical cut-off of p < .05. Real-time PCR was used to validate candidate differentially expressed genes as described earlier. Microarray data is deposited at ArrayExpress http://www.ebi.ac.uk/microarray-as/ae/.

Statistics

t-Tests were performed to evaluate significance between two groups. Significance was accepted at p < .05.

RESULTS

Defining Surface Biomarkers that Are Coexpressed with VASA in the Human Germ Line

VASA is the most reliable marker for distinguishing human PGCs from hESCs because it is differentially expressed between undifferentiated and differentiated hESCs [27, 28]. In the first experiment (Fig. 1), our goal was to colocalize VASA protein with previously characterized PGC surface markers to identify a combination of markers that could be used to quantify and isolate PGCs by flow cytometry and by FACS. Many human first trimester cell surface markers have previously been described including cKIT (CD117), PLAP, SSEA1 [36-38]. Unlike mouse PGC formation, which is relatively homogeneous, human PGC development is remarkably heterogeneous, and PGCs can be subdivided into various subpopulations based on marker expression [36, 39]. Given this heterogeneity, our aim was to evaluate which surface markers overlapped with VASA and whether particular surface markers could delineate subpopulations of VASA positive PGCs during the first trimester.

During the first 5 weeks of human development PGCs migrate into the fetal gonad. At this stage the gonad is intimately associated with a primitive kidney called the mesonephros (Fig. 1A). FISH for the Y chromosome was used to determine that the sex of this embryo was male (Fig. 1B). In the first trimester, we found that all migratory (Fig. 1C–1F) and early colonizing PGCs (Fig. 1G–1H) from 5 to 9 weeks



Figure 1. Surface markers that define male human primordial germ cell (PGC) migration and colonization in the first trimester of human fetal life. (A): H&E staining of a 5-week human embryo. (B): Fluorescent in situ hybridization with X chromosome-specific probe (green) and Y chromosome-specific probe (red) to determine embryo sex. (C–H): Immunofluorescent staining of overlay images showing the germ cell-specific marker VASA (red) and cKIT (green). colocalization is seen as yellow. (C): VASA/cKIT coexpression (yellow) in PGC clusters exiting the hind gut (original magnification, $\times 800$) (D): VASA/cKIT coexpression in PGCs migrating towards gonad through the mesonephros which contains mg (original magnification, $\times 800$). (E): VASA/cKIT double positive PGCs entering into the gonad as a cluster from the mesonephros (original magnification, $\times 400$). (G, H): VASA/cKIT double positive PGCs migrating through the mg during migration to the gonad (original magnification, $\times 400$). (G, H): VASA/cKIT double positive PGCs in the fetal gonad (original magnification, $\times 800$ and $\times 1600$). (I): Migrating VASA (red) positive PGCs with PLAP (green) (original magnification, $\times 800$). * indicates PLAP positive and VASA negative cells, which were only observed during migration. (J): VASA (red) with SSEA1 (green) in colonized PGCs within the fetal gonad (original magnification, $\times 1200$). TO-PRO three iodide was used as a marker for cell nucleus in all sections from (B) to (J). Abbreviations: mg, mesonephric glomeruli; PLAP, placental alkaline phosphatase; SSEA1, stage specific embryonic antigen 1.

Δ



Figure 2. Characterization of SSEA1 in first trimester human fetal gonads. (A): Human fetal testis at 9 weeks of gestation AMH (red) SSEA1 (green), TO-PRO three iodide stains the cell nucleus (original magnification, $\times 1200$). Arrow indicates exclusive red and arrowhead indicates exclusive green cells. (B): Flow analysis of a 7-gestational-week-old male gonad. Shown are negative controls (red lines) cKIT and SSEA1 (blue lines). Abbreviations: AMH, antimullerian hormone; SSEA1, stage specific embryonic antigen 1.

of human gestation are double positive for VASA and cKIT. Next, we colocalized VASA with PLAP (Fig. 1I). PLAP is not the same molecule as tissue nonspecific alkaline phosphatase (TNAP), which is a characteristic cytoplasmic marker of undifferentiated ESCs that cannot be detected by surface staining using flow (supporting information Fig. 1A,B). PLAP is located on human chromosome 2q in a genomic cluster with tissue specific alkaline phosphatases including germ cell alkaline phosphatase and intestinal alkaline phosophatase [40]. TNAP and PLAP share 50% homology and PLAP can be distinguished by antibody staining [41, 42]. As a positive control for PLAP, we stained testicular cancer sections containing carcinoma in situ and seminoma which were positive (supporting information Fig. 1C) [41]. In the human fetal samples, we found every migratory VASA positive cell was PLAP positive. However, a few rare PLAP positive cells were VASA negative (Fig. 1I, white boxes). At 9 weeks of gestation PLAP was not expressed in the fetal gonad (data not shown). This indicates that PLAP identifies a subset of less mature cKIT/VASA positive cells. The third cell surface marker, SSEA1 was similar to cKIT and was coexpressed with VASA during the first trimester of human life from 5 to 9 weeks (Fig. 1J).

Given the unique speckled pattern of SSEA1 expression, we next colocalized SSEA1 with AMH, which is a marker of sertoli cells (Fig. 2A). We found that although the staining pattern of AMH and SSEA1 were very similar, the expression is mutually exclusive because we could identify red and green staining (not yellow) in the overlay of the confocal images. To confirm that SSEA1 was expressed on cKIT positive cells, we performed flow cytometry of human gonads at 7 weeks of gestation (Fig. 2B) and determined that SSEA1 positive cells coexpressed cKIT.

In Vitro Derivation of Human PGCs (iPGCs) Using Cell Surface Biomarkers

To evaluate whether a human cell-based supportive niche would improve the derivation of iPGCs differentiated from hESCs we first generated a supporting cell layer from human fetal gonads (Fig. 3). We have called this gonadal cell layer hFGS cells (Fig. 3A). Once the hFGS cell line was established (passage 2-5) we performed alkaline phosphatase (AP) staining to identify germ cells or embryonic germ cells. We found no AP staining in the hFGS cell line compared to hESCs. To characterize the hFGS cells we performed RT-PCR for makers of sertoli cells (SRY, AMH) and leydig cells (CYP19) as well as germ cells (VASA). The hFGS cells expressed high levels of CYP19 mRNA, indicating a major contribution of cells with a leydig cell identity. However, evidence of sertoli cells could be identified by low expression of AMH (fetal) and SRY (fetal and adult sertoli cells). VASA mRNA was not detected in the primary hFGS cell cultures. Next we performed flow cytometry on hFGS cells using TRA-1-85 (pan-human marker) and the germ cell surface biomarkers SSEA1, cKIT, and PLAP (Fig. 3C). These surface markers were not expressed on the hFGS cell line.

To determine whether differentiation of hESC in a coculture system with hFGS cells improved the efficiency for generating cKIT/SSEA1/VASA triple positive cells, we compared three alternate differentiation strategies for 3 days using HSF-1 and HSF-6 hESC lines (Fig. 3D). These are (a) adherent differentiation on matrigel, (b) coculture with hFGS cells, and (c) adherent differentiation on matrigel plus hFGS cell conditioned media. In undifferentiated hESCs, a small triple positive population corresponding to less than 1% of cells can be identified in both lines of hESCs. Following differentiation on



Figure 3. Isolation and characterization of stromal cells from first trimester human fetal gonads and comparison of different feeder layers for in vitro derived PGC (iPGC) differentiation. (A): hFGS cells grow as a monolayer and are negative for alkaline phosphatase (AP), whereas hESCs are positive for AP. (B): Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) comparing hFGS cells and adult testis. (C): Flow cytometry of hFGS cells for TRA-1-85 (pan-human surface marker), SSEA1, cKIT, and PLAP. (D): Percentages of cKIT/SSEA1/VASA triple positive cells in undifferentiated (Undiff.) HSF-1 and HSF-6 hESCs, and following differentiation for 3 days on matrigel, hFGS cells or matrigel with hFGS cells and human liver stromal cells after 7-day differentiation. Significance was achieved when comparing a to b and c to d (p < .05). Abbreviations: AMH, antimullerian hormone; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; hESCs, human embryonic stem cells; hFGS, human fetal gonadal stromal; PGCs, primordial germ cells; PLAP, placental alkaline phosphatase; SSEA1, stage specific embryonic antigen 1.

matrigel, the proportion of SSEA1/KIT/VASA triple positive cells increases 1-2-fold on day 3 compared to day 0, but was still less than 1%. In contrast, differentiation by coculture on hFGS cells resulted in the generation of 2%–4% triple positive cells by day 3, representing a significant improvement over matrigel for the generation of iPGCs (14-19-fold).

To evaluate whether this affect was due to factors released into the media, we differentiated hESCs for 3 days on matrigel in hFGS cell conditioned media (Fig. 3D). We found that conditioned media returned the proportion of iPGCs to levels seen with matrigel, suggesting that cell-to-cell contact is important for this effect on the iPGCs. Finally, to determine whether nongonadal sources of human fetal stromal cells could promote the differentiation of iPGCs from hESCs, we differentiated HSF-1 in triplicate for 7 days on hFGS cells, human placental stromal cells, or human liver stromal cells (Fig. 3E). We found that all human stromal cells regardless of origin were capable of supporting iPGC differentiation from hESCs. However, differentiation on hFGS cells resulted in a significantly higher yield of iPGCs compared to the other stromal lines tested. Therefore, due to this significant increase in efficiency over the other strategies tested, all subsequent experiments were performed on hFGS cells.

To address the efficacy of using this triple biomarker strategy, we evaluated iPGC formation from three independently derived lines of hESC lines (HSF-1, HSF-6, and H9) on hFGS cells for 7 days and evaluated SSEA1/cKIT/VASA and PLAP/SSEA1/VASA triple positive expression by flow cytometry (shown are the flow plots of HSF-1). In undifferentiated hESCs, less than 1% of the population are positive for cKIT, SSEA1 PLAP, or VASA (Fig. 4A and 4B). However, after 7 days of differentiation, cKIT, SSEA1, PLAP, and VASA positive cells can be identified. In the initial analysis, we first evaluated cKIT/SSEA1 double positive or PLAP/ SSEA1 double positive and found that an equivalent proportion of cells were double positive for both surface biomarkers. To address whether the double positive cells had a germ cell identity, we performed a secondary analysis on the double positive population for VASA expression. Our results reveal that VASA protein is enriched in the double positive cells (blue peak) whereas the negative cells (red peak) were negative for VASA (Fig. 4A). This type of flow analyses was repeated in triplicate for HSF-1, HSF-6, and H9. From this result it can be quantified that on day 7 of differentiation there is a significant increase in the proportion of triple positive cells from HSF-1, HSF-6, and H9 compared to the undifferentiated state. Furthermore, the proportion of triple positive iPGCs derived from each hESC line is very similar corresponding to 6%-10% of the population. To determine whether iPGCs can be acquired at later stages, we performed flow cytometry at day 7, 14, and 21 days of differentiation in triplicate (supporting information Fig. 2). This results shows that by day 14 of differentiation, 20% of cells are triple positive for the combinations of surface markers and the proportion of triple positive cells remains the same at day 21 of differentiation.

To further characterize VASA expression in the iPGCs at day 7, we performed Real-time PCR and immunofluorescence on sorted cells for VASA mRNA and protein (Fig. 4C). Using FACS sorting for the double positive (SSEA1/cKIT) and negative population, we determined that VASA mRNA was expressed at equivalent levels in HSF-1 and HSF-6 positive cells relative to GAPDH. Furthermore, we can show that VASA mRNA is expressed in the double positive cells but was absent in the negative population. Finally, our results show that VASA is localized in the cytoplasm in the cKIT positive iPGCs (Fig. 4C).

Given that the double positive population of iPGCs from both HSF-1 and HSF-6 lines of hESCs was enriched in VASA we next performed microarray analysis comparing the HSF-1 and HSF-6 cKIT/SSEA1 double positive cells on day 7 of differentiation to the double negative cells (Fig. 5A). We also performed Real-time PCR to validate data observed in the microarray. We found that like VASA, the germ cell genes *PRMD1*, *DPPA3*, and *DAZL* are enriched in the iPGCs relative to the negative cells. Furthermore, we found that the iPGCs significantly repressed multiple *HOX* genes. Specifically, we found repression of the majority of genes transcribed from the *HOXA* cluster as well as repression of 789

Next, we performed CpG methylation of imprinted genes in the HSF-1 and HSF-6 lines of hESCs (Fig. 5B). First, we analyzed the undifferentiated hESC population. For HSF-6 we determined that H19, PEG1, and SNRPN imprinting control centers were differentially methylated, whereas in HSF-1 only two third loci exhibited differential methylation. The one abnormally methylated locus in HSF-1 was PEG1, which showed almost complete methylation with 95.7% of CpGs methylated in its imprinting control center. With regard to iPGCs derived from HSF-1 and HSF-6, we found that imprinting control centers that were differentially methylated in undifferentiated hESCs (H19 and SNRPN in HSF-1 and H19, PEG1, and SNRPN in HSF-6), initiated the process of imprint erasure by day 7 in the iPGCs (green). In contrast, the hypermethylated PEG1 locus remained fully methylated in iPGCs (97.7%, red) (Fig. 5B).

Given that hESCs generated consistent numbers of iPGCs with differentiation, and these iPGCs demonstrated the capacity to initiate imprint erasure, we were next interested in evaluating hIPS cells because their origin is not from a human blastocyst, and therefore it is not clear whether these cells will have the capacity for germ cell formation with differentiation. For this analysis, we used two of the recently reported human IPS cell lines (hIPS1 and hIPS2) [34]. Similar to previous experiments, we analyzed iPGC formation by flow cytometry in triplicate (Fig. 6A; supporting information Fig. 3). We found that the proportion of triple positive iPGC cells (cKIT/SSEA1/VASA or PLAP/SSEA1/VASA) derived from hIPS1 and hIPS2 corresponded to 8%-10% of the population on day 7. This was comparable to the proportion of iPGCs differentiated from independently derived lines of hESCs. To determine whether these iPGCs were capable of initiating imprint erasure similar to hESCs, we first evaluated CpG methylation in the starting fibroblast population and the reprogrammed hIPS2 line (Fig. 6B). We found that during the process of reprogramming two third loci remained differentially methylated at the imprinting control centers in hIPS2, whereas H19 became hypermethylated (from 55.4% to 92.6% methylated CpGs as shown in red). Next, we generated iPGCs for 7 days, and evaluated CpG methylation in the positive and negative population. CpG methylation in the negative population remained consistent with less than 10% of CpGs changing methylation status relative to undifferentiated hIPS cells. Similar to hESCs, we found that the fully methylated locus (this time H19) was incapable of initiating imprint erasure in hIPS-iPGCs. In contrast, only one of the two differentially methylated loci (PEG1) showed a decrease in CpG methylation in iPGCs (-17.5%), whereas the other locus (SNRPN) remained unchanged. This result indicates that hIPS2 line of iPGCs may be compromised in its ability to undergo erasure of CpG methylation at imprinted genes. Furthermore, the fact that the hypermethylated H19 locus did not undergo imprint erasure in hIPS-iPGCs reinforces the idea that the epigenetic state of the undifferentiated pluripotent cells regardless of origin from the inner cell mass or through reprogramming of fibroblasts may be critical for defining the ability of iPGCs to appropriately differentiate and undergo imprint erasure.

DISCUSSION

In the current study, we demonstrate that human SSEA1/ cKIT/PLAP positive PGCs can be isolated from hESCs and



Figure 4. Derivation of in vitro derived PGCs (iPGCs from HSF-1, HSF-6, and H9 lines of hESCs using hFGS cells consistently results in formation of triple positive cells at day 7 of differentiation. (A): Flow cytometry of the HSF-1 line of hESCs. Red lines indicate negative controls (secondary antibody only) and blue lines indicate positive staining for each molecule as indicated above each plot. Following 7 days of differentiation, cKIT, SSEA1, PLAP, and VASA positive cells could be identified above the negative control. Analysis of two channels reveals presence of double positive cKIT/SSEA1 and PLAP/SSEA1 cells. VASA expression was reanalyzed in the double positive (blue) and negative (red) populations after 7 days of differentiation. This demonstrates that VASA positive cells are only found within the cKIT/SSEA1 or PLAP/SSEA1 double positive population and not the netative. (**B**): Quantification of iPGC formation from HSF-1, HSF-6, and H9 lines of hESC lines at day 7 of differentiation. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Significance was achieved when comparing a to b and c to d (p < .05). (C): Relative expression of VASA to GAPDH mRNA using Real-time PCR in double-positive (cKIT/SSEA1) cells sorted by fluorescence-activated cell sorting at day 7 of differentiation from HSF1 and HSF6 lines of hESCs (left panel). Middle panel shows a gel electrophoresis of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products of VASA reverse transcriptase PCR in the cKIT/SSEA1 double positive and double negative FACS sorted cells at day 7 of differentiation from HSF1 (middle). Immunofluorescent staining of HSF1-derived PGCs with cKIT (green) and VASA (red) after magnetic activated cell sorting and cytospin. VASA protein is expressed in the cytoplasm of cKIT-positive PGCs (original magnification, $\times 1200$) (right panel). Abbreviations: GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; hESCs, human embryonic stem cell; PGCs, human primordial germ cells; PLAP, placental alkaline phosphatase; SSEA1, stage specific e



Figure 5. Gene expression and CpG methylation status at imprinted genes in isolated in vitro derived PGCs (iPGCs) at day 7 of differentiation from HSF-1 and HSF-6. (A): Heat map showing differentially expressed genes in HSF-1 and HSF-6 cKIT/SSEA1 double positive fluorescenceactivated cell sorting (FACS) sorted cells compared to the double negative cells. Real-time polymerase chain reaction of germ cell-related genes (*PRDM1, DPPA3, DAZL*) and somatic genes (*HOXA2, HOXC5*) in double-positive (cKIT/SSEA1) and double-negative FACS sorted cells from HSF-1 at day 7 of differentiation. (**B**): CpGs of imprinted genes (*H19, PEG1*, and *SNRPN* DMRs) were analyzed following bisulfite sequencing from undifferentiated HSF-1 and HSF-6 hESCs and iPGCs derived at day 7 of differentiation. In vitro derived PGCs were isolated by FACS sorting for cKIT/SSEA1 double positive cells. Methylated CpGs are represented as filled circles, unmethylated CpGs are represented as open circles. Percentage methylation was calculated comparing the number of CpG methylated sites at the same locus in the iPGC population. Decreased methylation in iPGCs relative to undifferentiated hESCs is shown in green and no change shown in black. Abnormal methylation is shown in red. Abbreviations: hESCs, human embryonic stem cell; PGCs, primordial germ cells; SSEA1, stage specific embryonic antigen 1.



Figure 6. Derivation of in vitro derived PGCs (iPGCs) from hIPS cells and status of CpG methylation at imprinted genes in the hIPS-derived iPGCs. (A): Quantification of iPGC derived from two hIPS lines (hIPS1, hIPS2) following flow analysis. Significance was achieved when comparing a to b and c to d (p < .05). (B): CpGs of imprinted genes (H19, PEG1, and SNRPN DMRs) were analyzed by bisulfite sequencing from fibroblasts before reprogramming, undifferentiated hIPS2 and iPGCs sorted at day 7 of differentiation using cKIT/SSEA1 surface markers. The cKIT/SSEA1 double negative population was used as a control. Methylated CpGs are represented as filled circles, unmethylated CpGs are represented as open circles. Percentage methylation was calculated by comparing the number of CpG methyl groups in the starting population versus the test group. For example, fibroblast versus hIPS2, hIPS2 versus cKIT/SSEA1 fluorescence-activated cell sorting sorted double positive iPGC; and hIPS2 versus the double negative population. Decreased methylation is shown in green no change shown in black and abnormal methylation is shown in red. Abbreviations: hIPS, human induced pluripotent stem; PGCs, primordial germ cells; PLAP, placental alkaline phosphatase; SSEA1, stage specific embryonic antigen 1.

hIPS cells. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the efficiency of deriving triple positive cells on day 7 of differentiation is consistent between lines regardless of origin from the inner cell mass of blastocysts or the reprogramming of human fibroblasts using OCT4, SOX2, KLF4, and c-MYC. As part of this

work, we used results from the human embryo in vivo to instruct the acquisition and staging of germ cell development in vitro from pluripotent cells. Access to human fetal samples was invaluable for staging iPGC formation from pluripotent cells as the same cell surface markers used for judging PGC formation in vivo were subsequently used for the in vitro experiments. Combining information on surface markers, together with gene transcription as well as methylation status at imprinted genes, we can classify the iPGCs acquired at day 7 of differentiation as cKIT/SSEA1/PLAP positive PGCs that have initiated the process of imprint erasure. Furthermore, transcriptional analysis would suggest that iPGCs with this surface biomarker identity are not meiotic, given the absence of transcription of meiotic genes. In sum, we propose that day 7 of differentiation on hFGS cells corresponds to a developmental window after specification and prior to 9 weeks of human gestation.

Staging of iPGC formation on hFGS cells was based initially on the combination of cell surface markers expressed by PGCs in vivo. Specifically, positive expression of SSEA1, PLAP, and cKIT. SSEA1 has been a controversial marker of human PGC formation, as some studies have reported it as positive, whereas other studies have reported it as a negative marker [37, 38, 43]. Our results agree with Kerr et al., [37, 38] that SSEA1 faithfully marks VASA positive human fetal germ cells from 5 weeks of gestation. Furthermore, we also show using double staining for cKIT/VASA and SSEA1/ VASA that the PGC population from 5 to 9 weeks of gestation is relatively homogeneous. In particular, every cKIT positive cell was VASA positive by immunofluorescence and ev-SSEA1 positive cell was VASA positive by erv immunofluorescence. Therefore, the presence of double positive cKIT/SSEA1 cells that express VASA are highly suggestive of first trimester human PGCs. It remains to be determined how these surface markers can be used to study second trimester PGC development which is marked by significant heterogeneity in PGC protein expression [36, 39].

PLAP has previously been reported as positive to human PGCs in the first trimester, declining in the second trimester and is absent from germ cells in the third trimester [36]. In the current study, we confirmed that PLAP was expressed in first trimester PGCs. However, our results demonstrate that PLAP expression begins to decline in PGCs at 7 weeks of gestation, and is absent from VASA expressing PGCs by 9 weeks of gestation. This disparity from previously published results may reflect differences in staining procedures give that we did not use amplification methods to increase the signal intensity [36]. In the current study, we found no difference in the percentage of SSEA1/cKIT double positive cells or PLAP/SSEA1 double positive cells emerging with differentiation from hESCs or hIPS cells. This result indicates that at day 7 of differentiation the SSEA1/cKIT positive iPGCs are relatively immature, and are most likely also PLAP positive. Evaluation of iPGCs at later stages of differentiation (14 and 21 days) determined that the proportion of PLAP/SSEA1 cells remained equivalent to cKIT/SSEA1 positive cells. This suggests that increasing the time of differentiation on hFGS cells (up to 21 days) did not result in a switch to a PLAP negative (post 9 weeks of gestation) iPGC development.

In further support of the immature stage of PGC formation, we determined that the iPGCs do not transcribe genes associated with meiosis or spermatogenesis. Instead, using microarray and Real-time PCR we found that the iPGCs on day 7 were enriched in *DPPA3*, *PLAP*, *PRDM1* (*BLIMP1*), *DAZL*, and *VASA* mRNA together with significant repression of multiple *HOX* genes. This result is in strong agreement with the developmental dynamics that occur in the initial phases of murine PGC formation soon after specification in which *Hox* gene expression is significantly reduced [44]. This result adds further evidence to the immature state of these iPGCs at day 7 of differentiation. Isolation of iPGCs after 3 weeks in adherent culture without any supporting cell layer has been performed by one group using the female H9 lines of hESCs [31]. Unlike the current study in which the iPGCs at 21 days remain relatively immature and express PLAP, the iPGCs derived by Tilgner et al. [31] expressed markers of meiosis, but were incapable of assembling correct synaptonemal complexes. This difference between the previous study and the results described here may be due to male-female differences, as we would not expect PGCs to enter meiosis and particularly immature PGCs that are PLAP positive. Furthermore, it could be hypothesized that fetal gonadal stromal cells derived from first trimester human gonads may prevent precocious meiosis of iPGCs.

In the current report, we determined that hFGS cells improved the efficiency of differentiating iPGCs compared to adherent culture, conditioned media and also nongonadal first trimester human stromal cells. Although hFGS cells generated the highest yields of iPGCs, the nongonadal stromal cells, from placenta and fetal liver also supported iPGC differentiation. Given that PGCs do not normally migrate through the fetal liver or placenta in vivo, the success of these gonadal and nongonadal cell lines for supporting iPGC formation indicates that it is not the specificity of the hFGS cells per se that supports specification of iPGCs from pluripotent cells. Instead, the most likely hypothesis is that each of these cell lines produces common iPGC survival and/or self-renewal factor(s). These factors could act either directly on the differentiating iPGCs or indirectly by coordinately differentiating the somatic cells. It is interesting to note that all supportive cell lines were derived from first trimester human fetal material. In future work, the effect of second or third trimester gonadal and nongonadal cell lines can be evaluated for a role in executing later stage germ cell specific events such as completing imprint erasure, inducing mitotic arrest, or initiation of correct meiosis. Two recent reports evaluated niche environments for the differentiation of human PGCs from hESCs [32, 45]. The first demonstrated that hESCs differentiated on mouse embryonic fibroblasts promoted an increase in expression of germ cell-specific genes in a mixed population of differentiating hESCs [45]. Our results with human fetal cells across multiple hESC lines support this finding. A second report described the differentiation of small sized female H9 hESC colonies on laminin for 10 days. This resulted in the induction of both sertoli cells and iPGCs [32].

In the current study, we evaluated CpG methylation at three imprinted genes during iPGC formation from three pluripotent cell lines, HSF-6, HSF-1, and hIPS2. In somatic cells derived from pluripotent cells, which do not undergo imprint erasure, we found that CpG methylation fluctuated less than 10% (1.7%-8.8%) from the starting undifferentiated hESC population. Therefore, any change in more than 10% of CpG dinucleotides at a given locus was considered to be occurring above background. Our results show that differentially methylated loci initiate imprint erasure in iPGCs, whereas hypermethylated loci did not. Hypermethylation of PEG1 in HSF-1 was most likely culture induced in our laboratory as previous reports have found this locus to be accurately methylated in many hESC lines but sensitive to perturbation in culture [46]. With regard to H19 in hIPS2, our results indicate that hypermethylation of its imprinting control center occurred during the process of reprogramming. H19 has previously been reported as a one of the more sensitive loci to perturbation in pluripotent human cells [47], therefore it is not surprising that loss of imprinting occurred at this particular locus. SNRPN in contrast was differentially methylated in hESCs and hIPS2. This is consistent with the finding that SNRPN is one of the most stable differentially methylated imprinted loci in pluripotent cells [47]. We found the iPGCs derived from HSF-1 and

HSF-6 were both capable of initiating imprint erasure at SNRPN, however, iPGCs derived form hIPS2 were not. Given that only one third-imprinted loci initiated imprint erasure in hIPS2-derived iPGCs, this may indicate a problem with epigenetic reprogramming of iPGCs derived following induced reprogramming. However, more hIPS cell lines need to be evaluated to determine whether the reduced efficiency of CpG erasure is consistent between hIPS cell lines compared to hESCs.

CONCLUSION

The derivation of iPGCs from hESCs constitutes both a desirable model for reproductive geneticists, and a potential method for treating couples with infertility due to germ cell defects. Our results show that derivation of iPGCs from pluripotent cells following 7 days of differentiation on hFGS results in the generation of immature PGCs corresponding to a developmental stage in vivo between specification and less than 9 weeks of gestation. Our results suggest that the ability to initiate imprint erasure was dependent upon the epigenetic status of the undifferentiated pluripotent cell population from which the iPGC population was generated. Therefore, in future studies, more detailed attention must be paid to the ge-

REFERENCES

- 1 Daley GQ. Gametes from embryonic stem cells: A cup half empty or half full? Science 2007;316:409–410.
- 2 Holden C. Sperm from Skin Becoming a Reality. Sciencenow Daily News 2008;415:2.
- 3 Surani MA. Germ cells: The eternal link between generations. C R Biol 2007;330:474–478.
- 4 Hayashi K, De Sousa Lopes S, Surani M. Germ cell specification in mice. Science 2007;316:394–396.
- 5 Ying YL, Liu XM, Marble A et al. Requirement of Bmp8b for the generation of primordial germ cells in the mouse. Mol Endocrinol 2000;14:1053–1063.
- 6 Ying Y, Zhao Q-G. Cooperation of endoderm-derived BMP2 and extraembryonic ectoderm-derived BMP4 in primordial germ cell generation in the mouse. Dev Biol 2001;232:484–492.
- 7 Fujiwara T, Dunn N, Hogan B. Bone morphogenetic protein 4 in the extraembryonic mesoderm is required for allantois development and the localization and survival of primordial germ cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001;98:13739–14744.
- 8 Lawson KA, Dunn NR, Roelen BA et al. Bmp4 is required for the generation of primordial germ cells in the mouse embryo. Genes Dev 1999;13:373–376.
- 9 de Sousa Lopes S, Roelen M, Monteiro R et al. BMP signaling mediated by ALK2 in the visceral endoderm is necessary for the generation of primordial germ cells in the mouse embryo. Genes Dev 2004;18: 1838–1849.
- 10 de Sousa Lopes SM, Hayashi K, Surani MA. Proximal visceral endoderm and extraembryonic ectoderm regulate the formation of primordial germ cell precursors. BMC Dev Biol 2007;7:140.
- 11 Clark A. Establishment and differentiation of human embryonic stem cell derived germ cells. Soc Reprod Fertil Suppl 2007;63: 77–86.
- 12 Yamazaki Y, Low E, Marikawa Y et al. Adult mice cloned from migrating primordial germ cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005;102: 11361–11366.
- 13 Yamazaki Y, Mann M, Lee S et al. Reprogramming of primordial germ cells begins before migration into the genital ridges, making these cells inadequate donors for reproductive cloning. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:12207–12212.
- 14 Szabo P, Hubner K, Scholer H et al. Allele-specific expression of imprinted genes in mouse migratory primordial germ cells. Mech Dev 2002;115:157–160.
- 15 Hajkova P, Ancelin K, Waldmann T et al. Chromatin dynamics during epigenetic reprogramming in the mouse germ line. Nature 2008;452: 877–881.

nome-wide epigenetic landscape of the pluripotent cell population prior to iPGC differentiation as this will assist in the analysis and future use of iPGCs for research or cell-based therapy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Raymond Kwan for assistance with hESC culture, Dr. Nagesh Rao, Ph.D. at the UCLA Clinical Cytogenetics lab for Fluorescence in situ hybridization, Dr. Angela Chen from UCLA OB/GYN for human tissues, Xinmin Li at the UCLA clinical microarray core facility, Jing Wen Tan and Dr. Rachel Kim of the UCLA Broad Stem Cell Center hESC core facility. This work is supported by funds from the UCLA Department of Molecular Cell and Developmental Biology, STOP Cancer Foundation and NIH P01 GM081621-01A1 (to A.T.C., Z.G., M.A.T., H.K.A.M., and K.P.).

DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors indicate no potential conflicts of interest.

- 16 Hajkova P, Erhardt S, Lane N et al. Epigenetic reprogramming in mouse primordial germ cells. Mech Dev 2002;117:15–23.
- 17 Monk M, McLaren A. X-chromosome activity in fetal germ cells of the mouse. J Embryol Exp Morphol 1981;63:75–84.
- 18 Monk M, Boubelik M, Lehnert S. Temporal and regional changes in DNA methylation in the embryonic and extraembryonic and germ cell lineages during mouse embryo development. Development 1987;99:371–382.
- 19 Hubner K, Fuhrmann G, Christenson L et al. Derivation of oocytes from mouse embryonic stem cells. Science 2003;300:1251–1256.
- 20 Toyooka Y, Tsunekawa N, Akasu R et al. Embryonic stem cells can form germ cells in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100: 11457–11462.
- 21 Geijsen N, Horoschak M, Kim K et al. Derivation of embryonic germ cells and male gametes from embryonic stem cells. Nature 2004;427: 148–154.
- 22 Lacham-Kaplan O, Chy H, Trounson A. Testicular cell conditioned medium supports differentiation of embryonic stem (ES) cells into ovarian structures containing oocytes. Stem Cells 2006;24:266–273.
- 23 Nayernia K, Nolte J, Michelmann H et al. In vitro differentiated embryonic stem cells give rise to male gametes that can generate offspring mice. Dev Cell 2006;11:125–132.
- 24 Payer B, Chuva de Sousa Lopes S, Barton S et al. Generation of stella-GFP transgenic mice: A novel tool to study germ cell development. Genesis 2006;44:75–83.
- 25 Salvador L, Silva C, Kostetskii I et al. The promoter of the oocytespecific gene, Gdf9, is active in population of cultured mouse embryonic with an oocyte-like phenotype methods. Stem Cells 2008;45: 172–181.
- 26 Ohinata Y, Sano M, Shigeta M et al. A comprehensive, non-invasive visualization of primordial germ cell development in mice by the Blimp1-mVenus and stella-ECFP double transgenic reporter. Reproduction 2008;136:503.
- 27 Clark AT, Bodnar MS, Fox M et al. Spontaneous differentiation of germ cells from human embryonic stem cells in vitro. Hum Mol Genet 2004;13:727–739.
- 28 Kee K, Gonsalves J, Clark A et al. Bone morphogenetic proteins induce germ cell differentiation from human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells Dev 2006;15:831–837.
- 29 Chen H, Kuo H, Chien C et al. Derivation characterization and differentiation of human embryonic stem cells: Comparing serum-containing versus serum free media and evidence of germ cell differentiation. Hum Reprod 2007;2:567–577.
- 30 Mikkola M, Olsson C, Palgi J et al. Distinct differentiation characteristics of individual human embryonic stem cell lines. BMC Dev Biol 2006;6:40.
- 31 Tilgner K, Atkinson S, Golebiewska A et al. Isolation of primordial germ cells from differentiating human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 2008;26:3075–3085.

- 32 Bucay N, Yebra M, Cirulli V et al. A novel approach for the derivation of putative promordial germ cells and sertoli cells from human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 2008;27:68–77.
- 33 Castrillon DH, Quade BJ, Wang TY et al. The human VASA gene is specifically expressed in the germ cell lineage. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000;97:9585–9590.
- 34 Lowry WE, Richter L, Yachechko R et al. Generation of human induced pluripotent stem cells from dermal fibroblasts. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008;105:2883–2888.
- 35 Judson H, Hayward B, Sheridan E et al. A global disorder of imprinting in the human female germ line. Nature 2002;41:539–542.
- 36 Gaskell TL, Esnal A, Robinson LL et al. Immunohistochemical profiling of germ cells within the human fetal testis: Identification of three subpopulations. Biol Reprod 2004;71:2012–2021.
- 37 Kerr CL, Hill CM, Blumenthal PD et al. Expression of pluripotent stem cell markers in the human fetal testis. Stem Cells 2008;26: 412–421.
- 38 Kerr CL, Hill CM, Blumenthal PD et al. Expression of pluripotent stem cell markers in the human fetal ovary. Hum Reprod 2008;7: 845–852.
- 39 Gjerstorff M, Kock K, Nielsen O et al. MAGE-A1, Gage and NY-ESO-1 cancer/testis antigen expression during human gonadal development. Human Reprod 2007;22:953–960.

- Millan J. Mammalian Alkaline Phosphatases: From Biology to applications in Medicine and Biotechnology. Germany: Wiley-VCH, 2006.
 Damjanov P, Lange P, Harris H. Immunohistochemical localization of
- 41 Damjanov P, Lange P, Harris H. Immunohistochemical localization of placental like alkaline phosphatase in testis and germ cell tumors using monoclonal antibodies. Am J Pathol 1983;111:156–165.
- 42 Le Du M, Milan J. Structural evidence of functional divergence in human alkaline phosphatases. J Biol Chem 2002;277:49808–49814.
- 43 Liu S, Liu H, Tang S et al. Characterization of stage-specific embryonic antigen-1 expression during early stages of human embryogenesis. Oncol Rep 2004;12:1251–1256.
- 44 Seki Y, Yamaji M, Yabuta Y et al. Cellular dynamics associated with the genome-wide epigenetic reprogramming in migrating primordial germ cells in mice. Development 2007;134:2627–2638.
- 45 West F, Machacek D, Boyd N et al. Enrichment and differentiation of human germ-like cells mediated by feeder cells and basic fibroblast growth factor signaling. Stem Cells 2008;26:2768–2776.
- 46 Kim K, Thurston A, Mummery C et al. Gene-specific vulnerability to imprinting variability in human embryonic stem cell lines. Genome Res 2007;17:1731–1742.
- 47 Rugg-Gunn P, Ferguson-Smith A, Pedersen R. Status of genomic imprinting in human embryonic stem cells as revealed by a large cohort of independently derived and maintained lines. Hum Mol Genet 2007;16 (Spec no.2):R243–R251.

See www.StemCells.com for supporting information available online.